arXiv:hep-th/0307008v3 8 Jan 2004

KEK-TH-900
hep-th/0307008
July 2003

Conformal Algebra and Physical States in a Non-Critical 3-Brane on $R \times S^3$

Ken-ji Hamada[1] and Shinichi Horata[2]

Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies,
High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

Abstract

A world-volume model of a non-critical 3-brane is quantized in a strong coupling phase in which fluctuations of the conformal mode become dominant. This phase, called the conformal-mode dominant phase, is realized at very high energies far beyond the Planck mass scale. We separately treat the conformal mode and the traceless mode and quantize the conformal mode *non-perturbatively*, while the traceless mode is treated in a perturbative method that is renormalizable and asymptotically free. In the conformal-mode dominant phase, the coupling of the traceless mode vanishes, and the world-volume dynamics are described by a four-dimensional conformal field theory (CFT_4). We canonically quantize this model on $R \times S^3$, where the dynamical fields are expanded in spherical tensor harmonics on S^3, which include both positive-metric and negative-metric modes. Conformal charges and a conformal algebra are constructed. They yield strong constraints on physical states. We find that all negative-metric modes are related to positive-metric modes through the charges, and thus negative-metric modes are themselves *not* independent physical modes. Physical states satisfying the conformal invariance conditions are given by particular combinations of positive-metric and negative-metric modes. An infinite number of such physical states are constructed. In the appendices, we construct spherical vector and tensor harmonics on S^3 in practical forms using the Wigner D functions and the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and calculate the integrals of three and four products of these harmonics over S^3.

---

[1] E-mail address : hamada@post.kek.jp

[2] E-mail address : horata@post.kek.jp

1 Introduction

In this paper, we quantize a world-volume model of a 3-brane. As in the case of non-critical string [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9], the action for the conformal mode of the world-volume metric is induced from the measure as the Wess-Zumino action [10] (Liouville action [1] in two dimensions) related to the conformal anomaly [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The conformal mode is treated *non-perturbatively* in the sense that there is no coupling concerning this mode. In four dimensions, we cannot make the conformal anomaly vanish. Fo this reason, we refer to a quantum theory of a 3-brane as a non-critical 3-brane [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. Furthermore, considering a world-volume model coupled to four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory (SYM_4), it is a world-volume model of a D3-brane [23, 24]. The aim of this paper is to quantize a non-critical 3-brane on the $R \times S^3$ background, derive the conformal algebra corresponding to the Virasoro algebra in a non-critical string on $R \times S$, and construct physical states.

Of course, in four dimensions, the metric field becomes dynamical, and we must solve the problem of world-volume singularities in the strong coupling phase. We here consider a model in which singularities are removed quantum mechanically. In this sense, the Einstein theory is excluded, because singularities are solutions of $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$, so that the quantum weight of the Einstein action becomes order 1: $e^{-\int R} \sim o(1)$. To begin with, the action is not bounded from below.

We here look for a model in which the world-volume becomes conformally flat in the strong coupling phase of gravity realized in the energy region, i.e. that in which $E \gg M_P$, where M_P is the Planck mass [21, 22]. We call this strong coupling phase the *conformal-mode dominant phase*. This implies that configurations in which the Weyl tensor $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ vanishes become dominant in this phase.

The conformal-mode dominant phase is realized by the quantum weight $\exp(-\frac{1}{t^2}\int C^2_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma})$ [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 21, 22]. This weight implies that singular configurations have vanishing weights, [3] and the $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = 0$ configuration becomes dominant in the limit $t \to 0$. Here, t is the coupling of the traceless mode. Thus, the asymptotic freedom [27, 22] now implies that the world-volume becomes conformally flat at very high energies far beyond the Planck scale. This feature is called an *asymptotically conformal flatness* [21, 22]. Here, it is worth commenting that this weight gives the same value for all configurations for which only the values of the conformal mode are different, because of the conformal invariance of the Weyl action. Thus, we must integrate the conformal mode exactly over the entire range.

---

[3] The singularity that satisfies $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = 0$ is not considered here. However, this singularity is removed by the action of the conformal mode induced from the measure.

The fact that there is no singularity implies that point-like excitations are forbidden for $E \geq M_P$, because for such energies, a particle is a mini black hole. This is an advantageous feature of the model when we consider unitarity in the strong coupling phase, i.e. the information loss problem. In fact, at such energies, the Heisenberg uncertainty for the position, $\Delta x \sim \frac{\hbar}{M_P c} = L_P$, becomes smaller than the Schwarzshild radius, $\frac{2G_N M_P}{c^2} = 2L_P$, where G_N is the gravitational constant and L_P is the Planck length. Thus, all information regarding such a point-like excitation is inside the black hole, so that it is lost, because we cannot extract it. This means that we must abandon the standard particle picture for $E \geq M_P$. The fact that such singularities are forbidden quantum mechanically implies that physical states are changed in this energy region.

The conformal invariance determines the kind of world-volume excitations that arise in the conformal-mode dominant phase. This is related to the problem of negative-metric modes in higher-derivative actions. Because we cannot remove such undesired modes using gauge degrees of freedom, we need a *new mechanism* to confine them [26, 28, 29]. We here assert that the conformal invariance is just such a new mechanism. In order to solve this problem, we construct conformal charges and a conformal algebra that define physical states in the strong coupling phase. In fact, we find that *conformal charges relate negative-metric modes to positive-metric modes so that no negative-metric modes are independent. Physical states satisfying the conformal invariance condition are given by particular combinations of positive-metric and negative-metric modes.* There are an infinite number of such physical states. We construct them in definite forms.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we define a model of a non-critical 3-brane and summarize the advantageous properties of this model and the problems we must solve. In Sect.3 we canonically quantize the model on $R \times S^3$ in the conformal-mode dominant phase. We here introduce the *radiation*$^+$ gauge, in which the space of the residual gauge symmetry becomes equivalent to the space spanned by the conformal Killing vectors on $R \times S^3$. The mode expansions and canonical commutation relations are listed there. In Sect.4, we construct conformal charges and their algebra for all dynamical fields. The scalar fields and the conformal mode have already been constructed by Antoniadis, Mazur and Mottola [20]. We develop their calculations, as we can treat fields with vector and tensor indices, in particular the traceless mode. In Sect.5, physical state conditions are defined. There, we give definite expressions of physical states. The last section is devoted to conclusions. In the appendices we develop the technique for spherical tensor harmonics on S^3. We construct them in practical forms using the Wigner D functions and the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and calculate the integrals of products of three and four harmonics. Useful formulae, product expansions, crossing relations, and so on, are obtained there.

2 A World-volume Model of a Non-critical 3-brane

We would like to construct a non-critical 3-brane theory without world-volume singularities. We here propose a non-singular model in which the world-volume metric becomes conformally flat in the strong coupling phase of gravity. This implies that fluctuations of the conformal mode become dominant in the region of energies much higher than the Planck energy. Such a configuration is characterized by the vanishing of the Weyl tensor.

A model satisfying this non-singular condition was proposed in Refs.[21, 22]. This model is defined, in the Lorentzian signature $(-1,1,1,1)$, by the partition function

$$Z = \int [g^{-1}dg]_g [dA]_g [dX]_g \exp(iI), \tag{2.1}$$

with the action

$$\begin{aligned} I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big\{ & -\frac{1}{t^2} C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} - bG_4 + \frac{M_G^2}{2} R - \Lambda \\ & -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial^\mu X \partial_\mu X + \frac{1}{6} R X^2 \right) \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Here $M_G = M_P/\sqrt{8\pi}$ is the reduced Planck mass. t is the coupling constant of the traceless mode, and $C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ is the Weyl tensor,

$$C_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\lambda}R_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma}R_{\nu\lambda} - g_{\nu\lambda}R_{\mu\sigma} + g_{\nu\sigma}R_{\mu\lambda}) + \frac{1}{6}(g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\lambda})R, \tag{2.3}$$

which is the field strength of the traceless mode. Also, G_4 is the Euler density in 4 dimensions,

$$\begin{aligned} G_4 &= \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\lambda\sigma\gamma\delta}R_{\mu\nu\gamma\delta}R_{\lambda\sigma\alpha\beta} \\ &= R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} - 4R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + R^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The bare constant b is not an independent coupling. The renormalization procedure for this constant is given in Ref.[22]. The fields X and A are the scalar and gauge fields. Considering a model coupled to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM$_4$ [24], it can be regarded as a world-volume model of a D3-brane [23].

We here summarize the advantageous features of this world-volume model:

- The perturbative expansion in the coupling, t, is renormalizable at higher order [22] and asymptotically free [27]. This implies that at very high energies much greater than the Planck scale, configurations in which the Weyl tensor vanishes become dominant. This condition is refered to as *asymptotically conformal flatness* (ACF) [21, 22].
- ACF implies that fluctuations of the conformal mode become important. This corresponds to a strong coupling phase of gravity, called the *conformal-mode dominant phase.* In this phase, the conformal mode must be quantized non-perturbatively, so that the model can be described as CFT$_4$.
- There is no pure R^2 action, because it is forbidden by the condition of integrability,[15, 16, 17][4] or higher-order renormalizability [22]. The

---

[4] The integrability condition is expressed by the equation $[\delta_{\omega_1}, \delta_{\omega_2}]\Gamma = 0$, where δ_ω is a conformal variation and Γ is the 1PI gravitational effective action [15]. This is the condition determining whether Γ exists, because Γ is obtained by integrating the ordinary action with respect to the conformal mode. This condition, in addition to forbidding the R^2 action, requires the action to be a combination of $C^2_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ and G_4 and also requires conformal coupling for scalar fields. On the other hand, there is no restriction for actions with dimensional parameters, such as the Einstein action, the cosmological constant and mass terms of matter fields.

kinetic term of the conformal mode is induced from the measure, as in the case of a non-critical string. This fact is also important to ensure ACF.

- ACF implies that there is *no* singular excitation in the strong coupling phase. This implies that physical states change in this phase.

The world-volume metric in the conformal-mode dominant phase is given by [8, 21, 22]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi}\hat{g}_{\mu\lambda}(\delta^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} + th^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} + \cdots), \qquad tr(h) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where $h^{\mu}{}_{\nu}$ is the traceless mode. Note that there is *no* coupling for the conformal mode, ϕ.

Of course, there is a strong coupling phase in which the traceless-mode coupling becomes strong. We call this phase the *traceless-mode dominant phase*. It is realized near the Planck energy, where we must take into account the ordinary strong coupling ingredients, like gravitational instantons [30]. In the energy region much below the Planck scale, the Einstein action becomes dominant. This is the classical phase, in which the standard weak-field approximation becomes valid.

The kinetic term and the interaction terms of the conformal mode are induced from the measure [22]. In the limit of the vanishing coupling t, only the kinetic term remains, and the partition function (2.1) can be equivalently re-expressed as

$$Z(\hat{g}) = \int [d\phi]_{\hat{g}}[dh]_{\hat{g}}[dX]_{\hat{g}}[dA]_{\hat{g}} \exp(iI_{\rm CFT}), \tag{2.6}$$

where $I_{\rm CFT} = S + I_{t=0}$, and S is the induced Wess-Zumino action (the local part of the Riegert action [16]) related to the conformal anomaly for G_4,

$$S = -\frac{b_1}{(4\pi)^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left\{2\phi\hat{\Delta}_4\phi + \hat{E}_4\phi\right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Here, $\sqrt{-g}\Delta_4$ is the conformally invariant 4-th order operator given by

$$\Delta_4 = \Box^2 + 2R^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu - \frac{2}{3}R\Box + \frac{1}{3}(\nabla^\mu R)\nabla_\mu, \tag{2.8}$$

and $E_4 = G_4 - \frac{2}{3}\Box R$, which satisfies the equation $\sqrt{-g}E_4 = \sqrt{-\hat{g}}(4\hat{\Delta}_4\phi + \hat{E}_4)$ concerning the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\phi}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$. This action is the four-dimensional counteraction of the Liouville action (the local part of the Polyakov action [1]) for a non-critical string. The coefficient b_1 has been calculated as

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{360}(N_X + 62N_A) + \frac{769}{180}, \tag{2.9}$$

where N_X and N_A are the number of scalar fields and gauge fields [13]. The last term in (2.9) is the sum of 87/20 [27] and $-7/90$ [19], which are the contributions from the traceless mode and conformal mode, respectively. The conformal anomaly coefficients related to $C^2_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$, which are related to β-functions, depend on the coupling. Therefore they vanish in the limit of vanishing coupling.

It is worth commenting that the conformal anomaly is physically *not* an anomalous quantity. It is a necessary ingredient to preserve diffeomorphism invariance. Now, the metric is a dynamical variable, so that conformal invariance is a part of the diffeomorphism invariance/background-metric independence. Thus, the conformal anomaly is a necessary ingredient to preserve conformal invariance itself.

Lastly, we summarize a problem that we must solve, which is the purpose of this paper. It is well known that higher-derivative actions introduce undesired negative-metric modes, which cannot be removed by gauge symmetry. In general, such modes must be removed. However, the negative-metric modes in the metric fields are necessary to remove a world-volume singularity. It is necessary that there exist no singularity in order to resolve the unitarity problem in the strong coupling phase, called the 'information loss problem'. Therefore, we think that such undesired modes are actually necessary to formulate a world-volume theory of a non-critical 3-brane, and we conjecture that there is a yet unrecognized mechanism to confine them. We here assert that this mechanism is *conformal invariance.*

3 Canonical Quantization of a Non-critical 3-brane on $R \times S^3$

Quantum conformal invariance in the conformal-mode dominant phase is now manifest, because we integrate the conformal mode exactly over its entire domain without introducing the coupling concerning this mode. Thus, the partition function

$$Z(\hat{g}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi \mathcal{Z}(e^{2\phi}\hat{g}) \tag{3.1}$$

satisfies the equation

$$Z(e^{2\omega}\hat{g}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi \mathcal{Z}(e^{2(\phi+\omega)}\hat{g}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi' \mathcal{Z}(e^{2\phi'}\hat{g}) = Z(\hat{g}) \tag{3.2}$$

for any local function ω; that is, any conformal change of the background-metric can be absorbed through a local shift of the conformal mode. Thus, a non-critical 3-brane in the conformal-mode dominant phase is described by CFT$_4$. (For recent developments of CFT$_4$ see, for example, Refs.[31, 32, 33]).

To quantize the model, we must specify the background metric, $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Owing to the conformal invariance, all models transformed by the conformal transformation into each other are equivalent. We here choose the $R \times S^3$ metric, given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{s}^2_{R\times S^3} &= -dt^2 + \hat{\gamma}_{ij}dx^i dx^j \\ &= -dt^2 + \frac{1}{4}(d\alpha^2 + d\beta^2 + d\gamma^2 + 2\cos\beta d\alpha d\gamma), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $x^i = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, with $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the Euler angles and t is the time. Henceforth, we mainly use t to denote the time. The curvatures are given by $\hat{R}_{0\mu\nu\lambda} = \hat{R}_{0\mu} = 0$, $\hat{R}_{ijkl} = (\hat{\gamma}_{ik}\hat{\gamma}_{jl} - \hat{\gamma}_{il}\hat{\gamma}_{jk})$, $\hat{R}_{ij} = 2\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$ and $\hat{R} = 6$. Here, we take radius of S^3 to be unity. Thus, the missing dimensions in the expressions given below originate from the radius. The advantages of this metric are that mode expansions and canonical commutation relations have simple, diagonal forms, and the level of states is parametrized by a single number, J, as discussed below.[5]

---

[5] In a flat background, M_4 or $R \times T^3$, the mode expansion of a 4-th order field is given by [34]

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{p}|^{3/2}} \left[\{a(\mathbf{p}) + itb(\mathbf{p})\} e^{ip_\mu x^\mu} + \text{h.c.} \right],$$

where $p^\mu = (p^0, \mathbf{p})$. There is an unusual time dependence in the undesired mode, b, which does not arise in the case of $R \times S^3$. The commutation relations have the off-diagonal forms $[a(\mathbf{p}), a^\dagger(\mathbf{q})] = \delta^3(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})$, $[a(\mathbf{p}), b^\dagger(\mathbf{q})] = [b(\mathbf{p}), a^\dagger(\mathbf{q})] = |\mathbf{p}|\delta^3(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})$ and $[b(\mathbf{p}), b^\dagger(\mathbf{q})] = 0$.

3.1 Radiation$^+$ gauge

The kinetic term of the traceless mode is invariant under the gauge transformation defined by $\delta_\xi h_{\mu\nu} = \hat{\nabla}_\mu \xi_\nu + \hat{\nabla}_\nu \xi_\mu - \frac{1}{2}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}\hat{\nabla}^\lambda \xi_\lambda$. To quantize the traceless mode, let us first consider the radiation gauge, in which

$$h^0{}_0 = \hat{\nabla}_i h^i{}_0 = \hat{\nabla}_i h^i{}_j = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

We also use the radiation gauge for the vector field, so that $A^0 = \hat{\nabla}_i A^i = 0$. The combined action of S and I on $R \times S^3$ in the radiation gauge is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathrm{CFT}} &= \int dt \int_{S^3} d^3x \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} \Big\{ -\frac{2b_1}{(4\pi)^2} \phi \left(\partial_t^4 - 2\hat{\nabla}^2 \partial_t^2 + \hat{\nabla}^4 + 4\partial_t^2 \right) \phi \\ & \qquad -\frac{1}{2} h^i{}_j \left(\partial_t^4 - 2\hat{\nabla}^2 \partial_t^2 + \hat{\nabla}^4 + 8\partial_t^2 - 4\hat{\nabla}^2 + 4 \right) h^j{}_i \\ & \qquad + h^0{}_i \left(\hat{\nabla}^2 + 2 \right) \left(-\partial_t^2 + \hat{\nabla}^2 - 2 \right) h^{0i} \\ & \qquad + \frac{1}{2} X \left(-\partial_t^2 + \hat{\nabla}^2 - 1 \right) X \\ & \qquad + \frac{1}{2} A_i \left(-\partial_t^2 + \hat{\nabla}^2 - 2 \right) A^i \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\hat{\nabla}^2 = \hat{\nabla}^i \hat{\nabla}_i$ is the Laplacian on S^3.

In the radiation gauge, the fields can be expanded in spherical scalar, vector and tensor harmonics on S^3, which are the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian. Because the isometry group on S^3 is $SO(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2)$, they can be classified using the (J_L, J_R) representations of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$, where J_L and J_R take integer or half-integer values.

The scalar harmonics, denoted by Y_{JM}, with $J \geq 0$, belong to the (J, J) representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$, which satisfies the eigenequation

$$\hat{\nabla}^2 Y_{JM} = -2J(2J+2) Y_{JM}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $M = (m, m')$ and $m, m' = -J, \cdots, J$. The multiplicity of Y_{JM} is given by the product of the left and the right $SU(2)$ multiplicities, so that it is totally $(2J+1)^2$.

The transverse vector harmonics, denoted by $Y^i_{J(My)}$, with $J \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $y = \pm\frac{1}{2}$, belong to the $(J+y, J-y)$ representation. They satisfy the eigenequation

$$\hat{\nabla}^2 Y^i_{J(My)} = \{-2J(2J+2)+1\} Y^i_{J(My)}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $M = (m, m')$ and

$$\begin{aligned} m &= -J - y, \ \ -J - y + 1, \cdots, J + y - 1, \ J + y, \\ m' &= -J + y, \ \ -J + y + 1, \cdots, J - y - 1, \ J - y. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, the multiplicity is $2J(2J+2)$ for each sign of y, so that it is totally $2(2J)(2J+2)$.

The symmetric transverse traceless tensor harmonics of rank 2, denoted by $Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)}$, with $J \geq 1$ and $x = \pm 1$, belong to the $(J+x, J-x)$ representation, and hence satisfy the eigenequation

$$\hat{\nabla}^2 Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)} = \{-2J(2J+2) + 2\} Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $M = (m, m')$ and

$$\begin{aligned} m &= -J - x, \ \ -J - x + 1, \cdots, J + x - 1, \ J + x, \\ m' &= -J + x, \ \ -J + x + 1, \cdots, J - x - 1, \ J - x. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Thus, the multiplicity is given by $(2J-1)(2J+3)$ for each sign of x, so that it is totally $2(2J-1)(2J+3)$.

We wish to make the space of residual gauge symmetry equivalent to the space spanned by the conformal Killing vectors on $R \times S^3$. The residual symmetry space in the radiation gauge (3.4) defined by the equations $\delta_\xi h^0{}_0 = \delta_\xi(\hat{\nabla}_i h^i{}_0) = \delta_\xi(\hat{\nabla}_i h^i{}_j) = 0$ includes the vector $\xi^\mu = \left(0, f(t) Y^i_{1/2(My)}\right)$, where $f(t)$ is an arbitrary function of the time. This space is slightly larger than the space spanned by the conformal Killing vectors in which $f(t)$ must be a constant, which is given in Sect.4. The extra mode is the lowest mode, $e_{\frac{1}{2}(My)}$, in the mode expansion of h^{0i}:

$$h^{0i} = \sum_{M,y} e_{\frac{1}{2}(My)}(t) Y^i_{\frac{1}{2}(My)} + \sum_{J\geq 1} \sum_{M,y} e_{J(My)}(t) Y^i_{J(My)} + \text{h.c.}. \tag{3.11}$$

From Eq.(3.7), we obtain $(\hat{\nabla}^2 + 2) Y^i_{\frac{1}{2}(My)} = 0$. Thus, substituting this mode expansion into the action, we find that there is no kinetic term for the mode $e_{\frac{1}{2}(My)}$. Therefore we remove this mode by using the residual gauge symmetry. We thus have

$$e_{\frac{1}{2}(My)} = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

We call the radiation gauge with this condition the *radiation*$^+$ gauge. The residual symmetry is now equivalent to the space spanned by the conformal Killing vectors.

3.2 Mode expansions and canonical commutation relations

From the gauge fixed action, we can easily obtain the equations of motion. The scalar and vector fields are expanded in $X \sim e^{-i\omega t} Y_{JM}$ and $A^i \sim e^{-i\omega t} Y^i_{J(My)}$. We then obtain the equations of motion

$$\left\{\omega^2 - (2J+1)^2\right\} X = 0, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\left\{\omega^2 - (2J+1)^2\right\} A^i = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\omega = \pm(2J+1)$ for both the scalar and gauge fields, and thus they are expanded as

$$X = \sum_{J\geq 0} \sum_M \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(2J+1)}} \left\{\varphi_{JM}\, e^{-i(2J+1)t} Y_{JM} + \varphi^\dagger_{JM}\, e^{i(2J+1)t} Y^*_{JM}\right\}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$A^i = \sum_{J\geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M,y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(2J+1)}} \left\{q_{J(My)}\, e^{-i(2J+1)t} Y^i_{J(My)} + q^\dagger_{J(My)}\, e^{i(2J+1)t} Y^{i*}_{J(My)}\right\}. \tag{3.16}$$

The fields are normalized such that the canonical commutation relations become

$$\left[\varphi_{J_1 M_1}, \varphi^\dagger_{J_2 M_2}\right] = \delta_{J_1 J_2} \delta_{M_1 M_2}, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\left[q_{J_1(M_1 y_1)}, q^\dagger_{J_2(M_2 y_2)}\right] = \delta_{J_1 J_2} \delta_{M_1 M_2} \delta_{y_1 y_2}, \tag{3.18}$$

where $\delta_{M_1 M_2} = \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{m'_1 m'_2}$.

For the conformal mode, $\phi \sim e^{-i\omega t} Y_{JM}$, we obtain

$$\left\{\omega^2 - (2J)^2\right\} \left\{\omega^2 - (2J+2)^2\right\} \phi = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Thus, $\omega = \pm 2J, \pm(2J+2)$, and the conformal mode is expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{b_1}} \Bigg[& 2(\hat{q} + \hat{p}t) Y_{00} \\ & + \sum_{J\geq\frac{1}{2}} \sum_M \frac{1}{\sqrt{J(2J+1)}} \left\{a_{JM}\, e^{-i2Jt} Y_{JM} + a^\dagger_{JM}\, e^{i2Jt} Y^*_{JM}\right\} \\ & + \sum_{J\geq 0} \sum_M \frac{1}{\sqrt{(J+1)(2J+1)}} \left\{b_{JM}\, e^{-i(2J+2)t} Y_{JM} + b^\dagger_{JM}\, e^{i(2J+2)t} Y^*_{JM}\right\} \Bigg],\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where $Y_{00}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi}$. Quantization can be carried out using the standard method of higher-derivative theories. We introduce the new variable $\chi=\partial_t\phi$ and rewrite the action in terms of the variables ϕ, χ, $\partial_t\phi$ and $\partial_t\chi$. Then, solving the equation $\chi-\partial_t\phi=0$ as a constraint in the Dirac procedure, we obtain the commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{q},\hat{p}] &= i, &(3.21)\\
\left[a_{J_1M_1},a^{\dagger}_{J_2M_2}\right] &= \delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}, &(3.22)\\
\left[b_{J_1M_1},b^{\dagger}_{J_2M_2}\right] &= -\delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}. &(3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, a_{JM} has a positive metric and b_{JM} has a negative metric.

For the traceless modes, $h^{ij}\sim e^{-i\omega t}Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)}$ and $h^{0i}\sim e^{-i\omega t}Y^{i}_{J(My)}$, in the radiation$^+$ gauge, we obtain

$$\left\{\omega^2-(2J)^2\right\}\left\{\omega^2-(2J+2)^2\right\}h^{ij}=0, \tag{3.24}$$

$$(2J-1)(2J+3)\left\{\omega^2-(2J+1)^2\right\}h^{0i}=0. \tag{3.25}$$

Therefore, $\omega=\pm 2J,\pm(2J+2)$ for h^{ij} and $\omega=\pm(2J+1)$, $J\neq\frac{1}{2}$ for h^{0i}, and their mode expansions are

$$\begin{aligned}
h^{ij} &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,x}\frac{1}{\sqrt{J(2J+1)}}\left\{c_{J(Mx)}\,e^{-i2Jt}Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)}+c^{\dagger}_{J(Mx)}\,e^{i2Jt}Y^{ij*}_{J(Mx)}\right\}\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,x}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(J+1)(2J+1)}}\Big\{d_{J(Mx)}\,e^{-i(2J+2)t}Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)} \qquad (3.26)\\
&\qquad\qquad +d^{\dagger}_{J(Mx)}\,e^{i(2J+2)t}Y^{ij*}_{J(Mx)}\Big\},\\
h^{0i} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,y}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2J-1)(2J+1)(2J+3)}}\Big\{e_{J(My)}\,e^{-i(2J+1)t}Y^{i}_{J(My)}\\
&\qquad\qquad +e^{\dagger}_{J(My)}\,e^{i(2J+1)t}Y^{i*}_{J(My)}\Big\}. \qquad (3.27)
\end{aligned}$$

As in the case of the conformal mode, the quantization of h^{ij} can be carried out by introducing the new variable $\chi^{ij}=\partial_t h^{ij}$. However, h^{0i} is second order in time, and therefore it is not necessary to introduce a new variable for it. In this way, we obtain the commutation relations

$$\left[c_{J_1(M_1x_1)},c^{\dagger}_{J_2(M_2x_2)}\right] = \delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}\delta_{x_1x_2}, \tag{3.28}$$

$$\left[d_{J_1(M_1x_1)}, d^{\dagger}_{J_2(M_2x_2)}\right] = -\delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}\delta_{x_1x_2}, \tag{3.29}$$

$$\left[e_{J_1(M_1y_1)}, e^{\dagger}_{J_2(M_2y_2)}\right] = -\delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}\delta_{y_1y_2}. \tag{3.30}$$

Thus, the $c_{J(Mx)}$ are positive-metric modes, and the $d_{J(Mx)}$ and $e_{J(My)}$ are negative-metric modes.

4 Conformal Algebra on $R \times S^3$

In this section, we construct conformal charges and their algebra in explicit forms. We know that, from the equation (3.2), the conformal variation of the partition function with respect to the background metric vanishes. Thus, conformal invariance means that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}}Z(\hat{g}) \\ &= \int[d\phi dh dA dX]_{\hat{g}}\, i\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta I_{\mathrm{CFT}}}{\delta\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}}e^{iI_{\mathrm{CFT}}} + \int \hat{g}^{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}}[d\phi dh dA dX]_{\hat{g}}\, e^{iI_{\mathrm{CFT}}} \\ &= -i\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\langle\hat{T}^{\mu}{}_{\mu}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} + t_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}\frac{\delta I_{\mathrm{CFT}}}{\delta\hat{g}^{\mu\nu}}$. Here, $t_{\mu\nu}$ is a four-dimensional version of the Schwarz derivative, defined in terms of the functional derivative of the Riegert action [16] constructed from $\hat{g}$, which gives a constant shift b_1 to the Hamiltonian in the $R \times S^3$ background [20].

Conformal charges are defined by

$$Q_{\xi} = \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 \xi^{\mu}\hat{T}_{\mu 0}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $d\Omega_3 = d^3x\sqrt{\hat{\gamma}}$ and the quantities ξ_{μ} are conformal Killing vectors on $R \times S^3$ which satisfy the equation

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} + \hat{\nabla}_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}\hat{\nabla}^{\lambda}\xi_{\lambda} = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Using Eq.(4.3) and the transverse condition for the stress tensor, $\hat{\nabla}^{\mu}\hat{T}_{\mu\nu} = 0$, we can show that the charges are conserved: $\dot{Q}_{\xi} = -\frac{1}{3}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 \hat{\nabla}_i \xi^i \hat{T}^{\mu}{}_{\mu} = 0$.

There are 15 conformal Killing vectors on $R \times S^3$: time translation, isometries on S^3, and special conformal transformations. These are discussed below.

Time translation Time translation is represented by the vector $\xi^\mu_T = (1,0,0,0)$. The corresponding charge is the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 \hat{T}_{00}. \tag{4.4}$$

Isometries on S^3 The Killing vectors on S^3 are solutions of Eq.(4.3), which are represented by $\xi^\mu_R = (0, \xi^i_R)$, where $\hat{\nabla}^i \xi^j_R + \hat{\nabla}^j \xi^i_R = 0$ and $\partial_t \xi^i_R = 0$. The components ξ^i_R are given by the vector harmonics of $J = \frac{1}{2}$, $Y^i_{1/2(My)}$. Owing to the characteristics of $J = \frac{1}{2}$, the vector harmonics can be expressed in terms of the scalar harmonics as

$$(\xi^i_R)_{MN} = i\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{4}\left\{ Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M} \hat{\nabla}^i Y_{\frac{1}{2}N} - (\hat{\nabla}^i Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M}) Y_{\frac{1}{2}N} \right\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Here, we use this expression to define the rotation generators on S^3,

$$R_{MN} = \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 (\xi^i_R)_{MN} \hat{T}_{i0}. \tag{4.6}$$

From the property of the scalar harmonics (A.11), we can demonstrate the relations

$$R_{MN} = -\epsilon_M \epsilon_N R_{-N-M}, \qquad \epsilon_M = (-1)^{m-m'}. \tag{4.7}$$

Thus, only 6 of these generators are independent.

Special conformal transformations The remaining Killing vectors are the $4+4=8$ vectors given by $\xi^\mu_{SC} = (\xi^0_{SC}, \xi^i_{SC})$,

$$\xi^0_{SC} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\, e^{it} Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M}, \qquad \xi^i_{SC} = -\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\, e^{it} \hat{\nabla}^i Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M}, \tag{4.8}$$

and their complex conjugates, which represent the special conformal transformations. Substituting ξ^μ_{SC} into the definition (4.2), we obtain the following expression for the charge of special conformal transformation:

$$Q_M = \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\, P^{(+)} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M} \hat{T}_{00}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $P^{(+)} = \frac{1}{2} e^{it}(1 + i\partial_t)$. The integral over S^3 becomes functions of $e^{\pm it}$, so that $P^{(+)}$ selects the e^{-it} part and causes the charge to be time-independent.

In the following, we will construct these 15 charges, H, R_{MN}, Q_M and $Q_M^\dagger$, explicitly for scalar fields, vector fields, the conformal mode and the traceless mode and show that they form the closed algebra [20] defined by the following:

$$\left[Q_M, Q_N^\dagger\right] = 2\delta_{MN}H + 2R_{MN} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[H, Q_M] &= -Q_M, &(4.11)\\
[H, R_{MN}] &= [Q_M, Q_N] = 0, &(4.12)\\
[Q_M, R_{M_1M_2}] &= \delta_{MM_2}Q_{M_1} - \epsilon_{M_1}\epsilon_{M_2}\delta_{M-M_1}Q_{-M_2}, &(4.13)\\
[R_{M_1M_2}, R_{M_3M_4}] &= \delta_{M_1M_4}R_{M_3M_2} - \epsilon_{M_1}\epsilon_{M_2}\delta_{-M_2M_4}R_{M_3-M_1} &\\
&\quad -\delta_{M_2M_3}R_{M_1M_4} + \epsilon_{M_1}\epsilon_{M_2}\delta_{-M_1M_3}R_{-M_2M_4}. &(4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the algebra among the rotation generators (4.14) into the familiar form of the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ algebra. Let us parametrize the **4** representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ as

$$\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right), \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} = (1, 2, 3, 4). \tag{4.15}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_{14} = R_{41} = R_{23} = R_{32} = 0, \qquad R_{11} = -R_{44}, \qquad R_{22} = -R_{33},\\
&R_{12} = R_{34}, \qquad R_{13} = R_{24}, \qquad R_{21} = R_{43}, \qquad R_{31} = R_{42}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Noting that $R_{MN}^\dagger = R_{NM}$ and identifying

$$\begin{aligned}
A_+ &= R_{31}, \qquad A_- = R_{13} = R_{31}^\dagger, \qquad A_3 = \frac{1}{2}(R_{11} + R_{22}),\\
B_+ &= R_{21}, \qquad B_- = R_{12} = R_{21}^\dagger, \qquad B_3 = \frac{1}{2}(R_{11} - R_{22}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

we find that the algebra (4.14) is equivalent to the standard $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ algebra, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[A_+, A_-] = 2A_3, \qquad [A_3, A_\pm] = \pm A_\pm,\\
&[B_+, B_-] = 2B_3, \qquad [B_3, B_\pm] = \pm B_\pm,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

and $A_{\pm,3}$ and $B_{\pm,3}$ commute. The charges $A_{\pm,3}$ ($B_{\pm,3}$) act on the left (right) $SU(2)$ index of $M = (m, m')$.

4.1 Scalar field

Let us first construct conformal charges for scalar fields. The energy density of the scalar field on $R \times S^3$ is given by

$$T_{00} =: \frac{1}{2}\partial_t X \partial_t X - \frac{1}{2} X \partial_t^2 X + \frac{1}{12}\hat{\nabla}^2(X^2) :, \tag{4.19}$$

where :: indicates the normal ordering. Substituting this into the definition of the conformal charge (4.9) and using the mode expansion (3.15) and the property (A.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_M &= P^{(+)} \sum_{J_1,M_1} \sum_{J_2,M_2} \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{(2J_1+1)(2J_2+1)}} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M} Y_{J_1M_1} Y_{J_2M_2} \\
&\quad \times \Bigg[\left\{ -(2J_1+1)(2J_2+1) + (2J_2+1)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\varphi_{J_1M_1}\varphi_{J_2M_2} e^{-i(2J_1+2J_2+2)t} + \tilde{\varphi}^\dagger_{J_1M_1}\tilde{\varphi}^\dagger_{J_2M_2} e^{i(2J_1+2J_2+2)t} \right) \\
&\quad + \left\{ (2J_1+1)(2J_2+1) + (2J_2+1)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\varphi_{J_1M_1}\tilde{\varphi}^\dagger_{J_2M_2} e^{-i(2J_1-2J_2)t} + \tilde{\varphi}^\dagger_{J_1M_1}\varphi_{J_2M_2} e^{i(2J_1-2J_2)t} \right) \Bigg],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}_{JM} = \epsilon_M \varphi_{J-M}$ and ϵ_M is defined in (4.7). Using the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficient of type **C**, (B.1), and the properties, (B.2) and (B.3), we find that only the functions of $e^{\pm it}$ remain. The projection operator, $P^{(+)}$, selects the e^{-it} part, and we finally obtain [20]

$$Q_M = \sum_{J \geq 0} \sum_{M_1,M_2} \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JM_1,J+\frac{1}{2}M_2} \sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+2)}\, \tilde{\varphi}^\dagger_{JM_1} \varphi_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2}, \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JM_1,J+\frac{1}{2}M_2} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M} Y_{JM_1} Y_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2} \\
&= \sqrt{(J+1)(2J+1)}\, C^{\frac{1}{2}m}_{Jm_1,J+\frac{1}{2}m_2} C^{\frac{1}{2}m'}_{Jm'_1,J+\frac{1}{2}m'_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

We can show that the charge (4.21) satisfies the conformal algebra (4.10) with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{J \geq 0} \sum_{M} (2J+1) \varphi^\dagger_{JM} \varphi_{JM} \tag{4.23}$$

and the rotation generators on S^3, in the parametrization (4.15),

$$R_{11} = \sum_{J>0}\sum_{M}(m+m')\varphi^{\dagger}_{JM}\varphi_{JM}, \tag{4.24}$$

$$R_{22} = \sum_{J>0}\sum_{M}(m-m')\varphi^{\dagger}_{JM}\varphi_{JM}, \tag{4.25}$$

$$R_{21} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{J>0}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+2-2m')(2J+2m')}\varphi^{\dagger}_{JM}\varphi_{J\overline{M}}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$R_{31} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{J>0}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+2-2m)(2J+2m)}\varphi^{\dagger}_{JM}\varphi_{J\underline{M}}, \tag{4.27}$$

where $\overline{M} = (m, m'-1)$ and $\underline{M} = (m-1, m')$.

4.2 Vector field

The energy density of the gauge field on $R \times S^3$ in the radiation gauge is given by

$$T_{00} =: \frac{1}{2}\partial_t A^i \partial_t A_i - \frac{1}{2}A^i(\hat{\nabla}^2 - 2)A_i + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\nabla}_i(A_j F^{ij}) :. \tag{4.28}$$

Substituting this into the definition of the charge (4.9) and using the mode expansion (3.16), we obtain the expression

$$Q_M = -\sum_{J\geq\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{M_1,y_1,M_2,y_2}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1y_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)}\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+2)}\tilde{q}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1y_1)}q_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)}, \tag{4.29}$$

where $\tilde{q}_{J(My)} = \epsilon_M q_{J(-My)}$. The overall negative sign comes from the relation $Y^{*i}_{J(My)} = -\epsilon_M Y^i_{J(-My)}$. The $\mathbf{D}$-coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1y_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^{*}_{\frac{1}{2}M} Y^i_{J(M_1y_1)} Y_{i\ J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)} \\ &= \sqrt{J(2J+3)}C^{\frac{1}{2}m}_{J+y_1m_1,\ J+\frac{1}{2}+y_2m_2}C^{\frac{1}{2}m'}_{J-y_1m_1',\ J+\frac{1}{2}-y_2m_2'}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

This coefficient is a special case of the general one, $\mathbf{D}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)}$ defined in Appendix B. For the case $J = \frac{1}{2}$ given above, we find that the coefficient vanishes in the case that the signs of y_1 and y_2 are different.

The charge (4.29) satisfies the conformal algebra (4.10) with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{J \geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M,y} (2J+1) q^{\dagger}_{J(My)} q_{J(My)} \tag{4.31}$$

and the rotation generators

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{11} &= \sum_{J \geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M,y} (m+m') q^{\dagger}_{J(My)} q_{J(My)}, & (4.32)\\
R_{22} &= \sum_{J \geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M,y} (m-m') q^{\dagger}_{J(My)} q_{J(My)}, & (4.33)\\
R_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M} \sqrt{(2J+1-2m')(2J-1+2m')} q^{\dagger}_{J(M\frac{1}{2})} q_{J(\overline{M}\frac{1}{2})} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M} \sqrt{(2J+3-2m')(2J+1+2m')} q^{\dagger}_{J(M-\frac{1}{2})} q_{J(\overline{M}-\frac{1}{2})}, & (4.34)\\
R_{31} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M} \sqrt{(2J+3-2m)(2J+1+2m)} q^{\dagger}_{J(M\frac{1}{2})} q_{J(\underline{M}\frac{1}{2})} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \geq \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{M} \sqrt{(2J+1-2m)(2J-1+2m)} q^{\dagger}_{J(M-\frac{1}{2})} q_{J(\underline{M}-\frac{1}{2})}, & (4.35)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{M} = (m, m'-1)$ and $\underline{M} = (m-1, m')$.

4.3 Conformal mode

The stress tensor for the conformal mode is complicated. On $R \times S^3$, the energy density is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{00} = -\frac{2b_1}{(4\pi)^2} : \Big\{ & (\partial_t^2 \phi)^2 - 2\partial_t \phi \partial_t^3 \phi - \partial_t^2 \phi \hat{\nabla}^2 \phi + 2\partial_t \phi \partial_t \hat{\nabla}^2 \phi + \phi \partial_t^2 \hat{\nabla}^2 \phi \\
& -(\hat{\nabla}^2 \phi)^2 - 4(\partial_t \phi)^2 + \hat{\nabla}^2 \left(\frac{2}{3}(\partial_t \phi)^2 - \phi \partial_t^2 \phi - \frac{1}{3} \phi \hat{\nabla}^2 \phi + \frac{1}{6} \hat{\nabla}^2 (\phi^2) \right) \\
& + \frac{2}{3} \partial_t^2 \hat{\nabla}^2 \phi - \frac{2}{3} \hat{\nabla}^4 \phi + 4 \hat{\nabla}^2 \phi \Big\} :,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

and $t_{00} = \frac{b_1}{2\pi^2}$. From this, we obtain [20]

$$Q_M = \left(\sqrt{2b_1} - i\hat{p} \right) a_{\frac{1}{2}M}$$

$$+\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{JM_1,J+\frac{1}{2}M_2}\Big\{\alpha(J)\tilde{a}^{\dagger}_{JM_1}a_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2} \\ +\beta(J)\tilde{b}^{\dagger}_{JM_1}b_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2}+\gamma(J)\tilde{a}^{\dagger}_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2}b_{JM_1}\Big\}, \quad (4.37)$$

where $\tilde{a}_{JM}=\epsilon_M a_{J-M}$, $\tilde{b}_{JM}=\epsilon_M b_{J-M}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(J) &= \sqrt{2J(2J+2)}, \\ \beta(J) &= -\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+3)}, \\ \gamma(J) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

We can show that the charge satisfies the conformal algebra (4.10). When we evaluate the commutator $[Q_M, Q^{\dagger}_N]$, the crossing relation

$$\sum_{S}\left\{\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J-\frac{1}{2}-M_1,JS}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{JS,J+\frac{1}{2}-M_2}-\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}M_2,JS}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{JS,J-\frac{1}{2}M_1}\right\}=0, \quad (4.39)$$

which is a special case of (C.12), is useful to show that the off-diagonal parts, $a^{\dagger}b$ and $b^{\dagger}a$, vanish. The diagonal parts, $a^{\dagger}a$ and $b^{\dagger}b$, produce the Hamiltonian H and the rotation generators R_{MN}. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H=\frac{1}{2}\hat{p}^2+b_1+\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\left\{2Ja^{\dagger}_{JM}a_{JM}-(2J+2)b^{\dagger}_{JM}b_{JM}\right\}, \quad (4.40)$$

where the constant shift b_1 comes from t_{00}. The explicit forms of the rotation generators are given in Appendix E.

4.4 Traceless mode

Let us determine the conformal charges in the traceless-mode sector. In this case, the Hamiltonian is easily derived from the action as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,x}\left\{2Jc^{\dagger}_{J(Mx)}c_{J(Mx)}-(2J+2)d^{\dagger}_{J(Mx)}d_{J(Mx)}\right\} \\ &\quad -\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,y}(2J+1)e^{\dagger}_{J(My)}e_{J(My)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

However, the traceless mode is too complicated to derive an expression of the charge Q_M directly from the definition. Here we determine it indirectly.

Our strategy is to assume the form of Q_M and then determine it by imposing the condition that it satisfies the conformal algebra. Due to the properties that Q_M belongs to the **4** representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ and the relation $[H, Q_M] = -Q_M$, the general form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_M \;=\; & \sum_{J\geq 1} \sum_{M_1,x_1,M_2,x_2} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} \Big\{ \alpha(J) \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1x_1)} c_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} \\ & \qquad\qquad + \beta(J) \tilde{d}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1x_1)} d_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} \\ & \qquad\qquad + \gamma(J) \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} d_{J(M_1x_1)} \Big\} \\ & + \sum_{J\geq 1} \sum_{M_1,x_1,M_2,y_2} \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1);J(M_2y_2)} \Big\{ A(J) \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1x_1)} e_{J(M_2y_2)} \\ & \qquad\qquad + B(J) \tilde{e}^{\dagger}_{J(M_2y_2)} d_{J(M_1x_1)} \Big\} \\ & + \sum_{J\geq 1} \sum_{M_1,y_1,M_2,y_2} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1y_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)} C(J) \tilde{e}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1y_1)} e_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

where $\tilde{c}_{J(Mx)} = \epsilon_M c_{J(-Mx)}$, $\tilde{d}_{J(Mx)} = \epsilon_M d_{J(-Mx)}$ and $\tilde{e}_{J(My)} = \epsilon_M e_{J(-My)}$. Here we use the same notation for the coefficients, α, β and γ, as in the conformal-mode sector. For the time being, we take them to be arbitrary functions. Below, we show that they are the same as (4.38).

The $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of type **D** are given in (4.30). The **E** coefficient is given by the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M} Y^{ij}_{J(M_1x_1)} Y_{ijJ+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} \\ &= \sqrt{(2J-1)(J+2)}\, C^{\frac{1}{2}m}_{J+x_1m_1,J+\frac{1}{2}+x_2m_2} C^{\frac{1}{2}m'}_{J-x_1m'_1,J+\frac{1}{2}-x_2m'_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

The **H** coefficient is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_1x_1);J(M_2y_2)} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M} Y^{ij}_{J(M_1x_1)} \hat{\nabla}_i Y_{jJ(M_2y_2)} \\ &= -\sqrt{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\, C^{\frac{1}{2}m}_{J+x_1m_1,J+y_2m_2} C^{\frac{1}{2}m'}_{J-x_1m'_1,J-y_2m'_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

These coefficients are special cases of the general ones, $\mathbf{E}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1),J_2(M_2x_2)}$ and $\mathbf{H}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1);J_2(M_2y_2)}$, defined in Appendix B. For smaller values of J, as given above, we can show that they vanish in the cases that the signs of x_1 and $x_2(y_2)$ are different, and also that $\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J_1(M_1x_1);J_2(M_2y_2)} \propto \delta_{J_1J_2}$.

Let us calculate the commutator of Q_M and $Q_N^\dagger$. We obtain the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[Q_M, Q_N^\dagger] \\
&= \sum_J \sum_{M_1,x_1} \sum_{M_2,x_2} \\
&\quad \Big\{ X^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1),(M_2x_2)} c^\dagger_{J(M_1x_1)} c_{J(M_2x_2)} - Y^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1),(M_2x_2)} d^\dagger_{J(M_1x_1)} d_{J(M_2x_2)} \\
&\quad + Z^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1),(M_2x_2)} d^\dagger_{J-\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1)} c_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} + Z^{N,M}_{(M_1x_1),(M_2x_2)} d_{J-\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1)} c^\dagger_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2)} \Big\} \\
&+ \sum_J \sum_{M_1,x_1} \sum_{M_2,y_2} \\
&\quad \Big\{ U^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1);(M_2y_2)} c_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1)} e^\dagger_{J(M_2y_2)} + U^{N,M}_{(M_1x_1);(M_2y_2)} c^\dagger_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1)} e_{J(M_2y_2)} \\
&\quad + V^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1);(M_2y_2)} d^\dagger_{J(M_1x_1)} e_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)} + V^{N,M}_{(M_1x_1);(M_2y_2)} d_{J(M_1x_1)} e^\dagger_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)} \Big\} \\
&- \sum_J \sum_{M_1,y_1} \sum_{M_2,y_2} W^{M,N}_{(M_1y_1),(M_2y_2)} e^\dagger_{J(M_1x_1)} e_{J(M_2y_2)}, \qquad (4.45)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&X^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1),(M_2x_2)} \\
&= \sum_{T,x} \Big\{ \alpha(J)^2 \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx)} \epsilon_{M_2} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(-M_2x_2)} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \alpha\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_2x_2),J-\frac{1}{2}(Tx)} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(M_1x_1)} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \gamma\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1x_1),J-\frac{1}{2}(Tx)} \epsilon_{M_2} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(-M_2x_2)} \Big\} \\
&\quad - \sum_{V,y} A(J)^2 \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1x_1);J(Vy)} \epsilon_{M_2} \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(-M_2x_2);J(Vy)}, \qquad (4.46) \\
&Y^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1),(M_2x_2)} \\
&= \sum_{T,x} \Big\{ \beta(J)^2 \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx)} \epsilon_{M_2} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(-M_2x_2)} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \beta\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_2x_2),J-\frac{1}{2}(Tx)} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(M_1x_1)} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \gamma(J)^2 \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_2x_2),J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx)} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(M_1x_1)} \Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
-\sum_{V,y} B(J)^2 \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_2x_2);J(Vy)} \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(M_1x_1);J(Vy)}, \tag{4.47}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Z^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1),(M_2x_2)} \\
&= \sum_{T,x} \Big\{ -\beta\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma(J)\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(-M_1x_1),J(Tx)}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx),J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_2x_2)} \\
&\qquad -\alpha(J)\gamma\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2),J(Tx)}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx),J-\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1)} \Big\},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.48}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&U^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1);(M_2y_2)} \\
&= \sum_{T,x} \Big\{ -\alpha(J)A(J)\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1),J(Tx)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx);J(M_2y_2)} \\
&\qquad -\gamma(J)B(J)\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(Tx);J(-M_2y_2)}\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx),J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_1x_1)} \Big\} \\
&\quad -\sum_{V,y} C(J)A\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right)\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_2y_2),J+\frac{1}{2}(Vy)}\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_1x_1);J+\frac{1}{2}(Vy)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&V^{M,N}_{(M_1x_1);(M_2y_2)} \\
&= \sum_{T,x} \Big\{ -\beta(J)B\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right)\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx)}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx);J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_2y_2)} \\
&\qquad -\gamma(J)A\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx);J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(M_1x_1)} \Big\} \\
&\quad +\sum_{V,y} C(J)B(J)\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2),J(Vy)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(M_1x_1);J(Vy)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.50}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W^{M,N}_{(M_1y_1),(M_2y_2)} \\
&= \sum_{V,y} \Big\{ C(J)^2\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1y_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(Vy)}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Vy),J(-M_2y_2)} \\
&\qquad -C\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_2y_2),J-\frac{1}{2}(Vy)}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(Vy),J(M_1y_1)} \Big\} \\
&\quad +\sum_{T,x} \Big\{ B(J)^2\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(Tx);J(-M_1y_1)}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx);J(-M_2y_2)} \\
&\qquad +A(J)^2\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(Tx);J(M_2y_2)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx);J(M_1y_1)} \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}
$$

In order for the r.h.s. of the commutator (4.45) to form the Hamiltonian and the rotation generators, the off-diagonal parts, Z, U and V, must vanish.

To make Z vanish, the crossing relation

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{T,x}\Big\{&\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(-M_1x_1),J(Tx)}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx),J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_2x_2)}\\ &-\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2x_2),J(Tx)}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx),J-\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1)}\Big\}=0\end{aligned}\tag{4.52}$$

is useful. This is a special case of (C.14). We can make Z vanish if the following relation is satisfied:

$$\alpha(J)\gamma\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)=-\beta\left(J-\frac{1}{2}\right)\gamma(J).\tag{4.53}$$

To make U vanish, we use the crossing relation

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{T,x}\Big\{\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1),J(Tx)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx);J(M_2y_2)}\\ &\qquad+\frac{1}{2J}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(Tx);J(-M_2y_2)}\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(Tx),J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_1x_1)}\Big\}\\ &+\frac{(2J-1)(2J+1)}{(2J)^2}\sum_{V,y}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_2y_2),J+\frac{1}{2}(Vy)}\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_1x_1);J+\frac{1}{2}(Vy)}\\ &=0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.54}$$

This equation is obtained by removing the $\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{D}$ terms from Eqs. (C.16) and (C.18). From this, we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2J}\alpha(J)A(J)&=\gamma(J)B(J),&\text{(4.55)}\\ \frac{(2J-1)(2J+1)}{(2J)^2}\alpha(J)A(J)&=C(J)A\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right).&\text{(4.56)}\end{aligned}$$

For $V=0$, we use a slightly different crossing relation,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{T,x}\Big\{\epsilon_{M_1}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1x_1),J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx)}\epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx);J+\frac{1}{2}(-M_2y_2)}\\ &\qquad-\frac{1}{2J+3}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx);J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2)}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(Tx),J(M_1x_1)}\Big\}\\ &+\frac{(2J+2)(2J+4)}{(2J+3)^2}\sum_{V,y}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2y_2),J(Vy)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}N}_{J(M_1x_1);J(Vy)}=0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.57}$$

From this, we obtain the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2J+3}\beta(J)B\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right) &= -\gamma(J)A\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right), \qquad (4.58)\\ \frac{(2J+2)(2J+4)}{(2J+3)^2}\beta(J)B\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right) &= -C(J)B(J). \qquad (4.59)\end{aligned}$$

The solution of the equations (4.53), (4.55), (4.56), (4.58) and (4.59) is not unique, as the signs of γ, A and B are indefinite. Although these signs are intrinsically fixed when we determine the mode expansions (3.26) and (3.27), such information is lost when we assume the form of Q_M, because they change sign when the signs of the modes are changed, i.e., $c_{J(Mx)} \rightarrow -c_{J(Mx)}$, and so on. We here fix the convention by choosing $\gamma > 0$. Then, A and B have the same sign, and we take $A, B > 0$. Also, the signs of α, β and C are related to the metric of the modes $c_{J(Mx)}$, $d_{J(Mx)}$ and $e_{J(My)}$. Thus, α and β must be positive and negative, respectively. Because of the negative metric of $e_{J(My)}$ and the sign factor of the relation $Y^{*i}_{j(My)} = -\epsilon_M Y^i_{J(-My)}$, C is positive.

From Eqs.(4.53), (4.55) and (4.58), we obtain, in the convention mentioned above,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(J) &= \sqrt{2J(2J+2)}\gamma(J),\\ \beta(J) &= -\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+3)}\gamma(J), \qquad (4.60)\\ A(J) &= \sqrt{\frac{2J}{2J+2}}B(J).\end{aligned}$$

From Eqs.(4.56), (4.59) and (4.60), we obtain the recursion relation

$$A\left(J+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{(2J-1)(2J+1)(2J+3)}{(2J)^2(2J+4)}A(J)^2. \qquad (4.61)$$

By solving this equation and substituting the result into Eqs.(4.60) and (4.56), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A(J) &= \sqrt{\frac{2J}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}}\lambda,\\ B(J) &= \sqrt{\frac{2J+2}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}}\lambda, \qquad (4.62)\\ C(J) &= \sqrt{\frac{(2J-1)(2J+1)(2J+2)(2J+4)}{2J(2J+3)}}\gamma(J),\end{aligned}$$

where λ is a positive constant. The quantities λ and $\gamma(J)$ are fixed by the condition that the diagonal parts, X, Y and W, produce H and R_{MN} in the normalization (4.10) when substituting the values (4.60) and (4.62) into them. Then, we finally obtain

$$\gamma(J) = 1, \qquad \lambda = \sqrt{2}. \tag{4.63}$$

Here, note that $C(J)$ is different from the factor $\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+2)}$ for vector fields. Specifically, $C(J)$ vanishes at $J = \frac{1}{2}$. This is a consequence of the radiation$^+$ gauge.

5 Physical States in a Non-critical 3-brane

In this section, we discuss physical states in a non-critical 3-brane. We first introduce a conformally invariant vacuum for which all charges vanish. This is uniquely given by $|\Omega\rangle = e^{-\sqrt{2b_1}\hat{q}}|0\rangle = e^{-2b_1\phi(t=i\infty)}|0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is the standard Fock vacuum that vanishes when annihilation operators act. The correction term denotes the background charge.[6] The physical states are spanned by the Fock space generated on the conformally invariant vacuum satisfying the conditions [7]

$$Q_M|\text{phys}\rangle = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$H|\text{phys}\rangle = 4|\text{phys}\rangle, \qquad R_{MN}|\text{phys}\rangle = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where 4 denotes the number of dimensions of the world-volume.[8] As in the Gupta-Bleuler procedure, we do not impose a condition concerning $Q_M^\dagger$. Thus, in order to construct physical states, we must find creation operators that commute with the charges Q_M.

---

[6] In the Euclidean space, we can read the background charge as $\exp(-\frac{b_1}{(4\pi)^2}\int\sqrt{\hat{g}}\hat{G}_4\phi_0) = \exp(-2b_1\chi\phi_0)$ from the Wess-Zumino action, S, where ϕ_0 is the zero mode of the conformal mode and $\chi = 2$ is the Euler number. Now, the background charges are assigned at the in- and out-conformally invariant vacuums.

[7] The "weak" conditions employed in Ref.[20] are too strong to define physical states.

[8] This originates in the ghost sector concerning the residual gauge symmetry. In contrast to the bc ghosts in a non-critical string, it is a quantum mechanical system with a finite number of degrees of freedom.

Physical states can be decomposed into four sectors: scalar fields, vector fields, the traceless mode and the conformal mode. Each sector is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian that satisfies the condition (5.1). The conditions (5.2) are imposed last, after combining all sectors by adjusting the zero-mode value of the conformal mode. In this paper, we briefly discuss the scalar field sector and the traceless-mode sector. Detailed arguments on the classification of physical states for the other sectors as well as these sectors are given in Ref.[35].

We seek creation operators that commute with Q_M. Such operators provide building blocks of physical states. Let us first calculate the commutators between Q_M and the creation modes. Here, we first consider the scalar field sector. The commutator of Q_M and $\tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_{JM}$ is given by

$$[Q_M, \tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_{JM_1}] = \sqrt{2J(2J+1)} \sum_{M_2} \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J-M_1, J-\frac{1}{2}M_2} \tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_{J-\frac{1}{2}M_2}. \tag{5.3}$$

Thus, only $\varphi^{\dagger}_{00}$ commutes with Q_M.

Consider the operator with the level $H = 2J+2$,

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}_{J_3M_3}(J) = \sum_{K=0}^{J} \sum_{M_1,M_2} \frac{f(J,K)}{\sqrt{(2J-2K+1)(2K+1)}} \mathbf{C}^{J_3M_3}_{J-KM_1, KM_2} \tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_{J-KM_1} \tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_{KM_2}, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{JM} = \epsilon_M \Phi_{J-M}$. The commutator of Q_M and $\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}_{J_3M_3}$ is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}[Q_M, \tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}_{J_3M_3}(J)] &= \sum_{K=0}^{J} \sum_{M_1,M_2} \tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_{J-K-\frac{1}{2}M_1} \tilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}_{KM_2} \\ &\times \sum_{S} \Big\{ f(J,K) \sqrt{\frac{2J-2K}{2K+1}} \epsilon_S \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J-K-\frac{1}{2}M_1, J-K-S} \mathbf{C}^{J_3M_3}_{J-KS, KM_2} \\ &\quad + f\left(J, K+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{2K+1}{2J-2K}} \epsilon_S \mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{KM_2, K+\frac{1}{2}-S} \mathbf{C}^{J_3M_3}_{K+\frac{1}{2}S, J-K-\frac{1}{2}M_1} \Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Using the crossing relation (C.11), we can make the r.h.s. vanish if and only if $J_3 = J$ is an integer and $f(J,K)$ satisfies the recursion relation

$$f\left(J, K+\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{2J-2K}{2K+1} f(J,K). \tag{5.6}$$

Solving this recursion relation, we obtain

$$f(J,K) = (-1)^{2K} \begin{pmatrix} 2J \\ 2K \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.7}$$

up to the J-dependent normalization. Hereafter, we express $\Phi^{\dagger}_{JM}(J)$ with (5.7) as $\Phi^{\dagger}_{JM}$. If we impose Z_2 symmetry, $X \leftrightarrow -X$, the operator $(\varphi^{\dagger}_{00})^n$ with odd n is excluded, while that with even n is generated from $\Phi^{\dagger}_{00} = (\varphi^{\dagger}_{00})^2$.

The operator commuting with the rotation generators, R_{MN}, is obtained by contracting all indices of multiplicity $M = (m, m')$, using the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of type $\mathbf{C}$. Thus, the scalar field sector of physical states is, for example, constructed as

$$\sum_{M} \tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}_{JM} \Phi^{\dagger}_{JM} |\Omega\rangle, \qquad \sum_{M_1,M_2,M_3} \mathbf{C}^{J_3 M_3}_{J_1 M_1, J_2 M_2} \Phi^{\dagger}_{J_3 M_3} \tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}_{J_1 M_1} \tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}_{J_2 M_2} |\Omega\rangle, \tag{5.8}$$

and so on. In this way, we can construct an infinite number of states. Because of the factorization property of the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, a general state is factorized into a combination of the operators $\Phi^{\dagger}_{JM}$. Thus, these $\Phi^{\dagger}_{JM}$ provide building blocks of the scalar field sector.

Next, consider the traceless mode sector. The commutators between Q_M and the creation modes are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[Q_M, \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1 x_1)}\right] &= \alpha\left(J - \frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{M_2,x_2} \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1 x_1), J-\frac{1}{2}(M_2 x_2)} \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(M_2 x_2)}, \\
\left[Q_M, \tilde{d}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1 x_1)}\right] &= -\gamma(J) \sum_{M_2,x_2} \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1 x_1), J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2 x_2)} \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{J+\frac{1}{2}(M_2 x_2)} \\
&\quad -\beta\left(J - \frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{M_2,x_2} \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1 x_1), J-\frac{1}{2}(M_2 x_2)} \tilde{d}^{\dagger}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(M_2 x_2)} \\
&\quad -B(J) \sum_{M_2,y_2} \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1 x_1); J(M_2 y_2)} \tilde{e}^{\dagger}_{J(M_2 y_2)}, \qquad (5.9) \\
\left[Q_M, \tilde{e}^{\dagger}_{J(M_1 y_1)}\right] &= -A(J) \sum_{M_2,x_2} \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(M_2 x_2); J(-M_1 y_1)} \tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{J(M_2 x_2)} \\
&\quad -C\left(J - \frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{M_2,y_2} \epsilon_{M_1} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J(-M_1 y_1), J-\frac{1}{2}(M_2 y_2)} \tilde{e}^{\dagger}_{J-\frac{1}{2}(M_2 y_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is one of the most important conclusions of this paper. It is worth commenting that the only creation mode that commutes with Q_M is $c^{\dagger}_{1(Mx)}$.

No negative-metric modes, $d^\dagger$ and $e^\dagger$, commute with Q_M. All of them are related to the positive-metric mode $c^\dagger$ through the charge Q_M. This implies that *these negative-metric modes are no longer independent physical modes under the physical state condition* $Q_M = 0$. *Physical states are generated by particular combinations of the positive-metric and negative-metric creation modes.* Thus, we believe that conformal invariance is a mechanism that confines ghosts.

Let us now look for creation operators that commute with Q_M. There are only two series for such operatos of level $2J$ with the index of the scalar harmonic. We omit the derivation here. The results are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}^\dagger_{JM} = \sum_{K=1}^{J-1} \sum_{M_1,x_1} \sum_{M_2,x_2} & \frac{x(J,K)}{\sqrt{(2J-2K+1)(2K+1)}} \\ & \times \mathbf{E}^{JM}_{J-K(M_1x_1),K(M_2,x_2)} \tilde{c}^\dagger_{J-K(M_1x_1)} \tilde{c}^\dagger_{K(M_2x_2)}\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

for $J \geq 2$, with integer J, and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\dagger_{J-1M} = & \sum_{K=1}^{J-1} \sum_{M_1,x_1} \sum_{M_2,x_2} \frac{x(J,K)}{\sqrt{(2J-2K+1)(2K+1)}} \\ & \qquad \times \mathbf{E}^{J-1M}_{J-K(M_1x_1),K(M_2,x_2)} \tilde{c}^\dagger_{J-K(M_1x_1)} \tilde{c}^\dagger_{K(M_2x_2)} \\ & + \sum_{K=1}^{J-2} \sum_{M_1,x_1} \sum_{M_2,x_2} \frac{y(J,K)}{\sqrt{(2J-2K-1)(2K+1)}} \\ & \qquad \times \mathbf{E}^{J-1M}_{J-K-1(M_1x_1),K(M_2,x_2)} \tilde{d}^\dagger_{J-K-1(M_1x_1)} \tilde{c}^\dagger_{K(M_2x_2)} \\ & + \sum_{K=1}^{J-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{M_1,x_1} \sum_{M_2,y_2} \frac{w(J,K)}{\sqrt{(2J-2K)(2K+1)}} \\ & \qquad \times \mathbf{H}^{J-1M}_{J-K-\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1);K(M_2,y_2)} \tilde{c}^\dagger_{J-K-\frac{1}{2}(M_1x_1)} \tilde{e}^\dagger_{K(M_2y_2)} \\ & + \sum_{K=1}^{J-2} \sum_{M_1,y_1} \sum_{M_2,y_2} \frac{v(J,K)}{\sqrt{(2J-2K-1)(2K+1)}} \\ & \qquad \times \mathbf{D}^{J-1M}_{J-K-1(M_1y_1),K(M_2,y_2)} \tilde{e}^\dagger_{J-K-1(M_1y_1)} \tilde{e}^\dagger_{K(M_2y_2)}\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

for $J \geq 2$, with integer J, where

$$x(J,K) = (-1)^{2K} \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} 2J \\ 2K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2J-2 \\ 2K-1 \end{pmatrix}},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(J,K) = -2(2J-2K-1)x(J,K), \qquad (5.12)\\
& w(J,K) = -2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{(2J-2K-1)(2J-2K)}{2K(2K-1)(2K+3)}}x(J,K),\\
& v(J,K)\\
& = -\sqrt{\frac{(2K-1)(2K+1)(2J-2K-3)(2J-2K-1)}{(2K+3)(2J-2K+1)}}x\left(J,K+\frac{1}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

There are other operators with the index of the tensor harmonics up to rank 4 [35]. These operators, along with $c^{\dagger}_{1(Mx)}$, provide building blocks of the traceless mode sector. As discussed above, the operator that commutes with the rotation generators R_{MN} are obtained by contracting all indices of multiplicity $M=(m,m')$ and x and y, using the $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. The results are encouraging, because the creation operator including the negative-metric modes, $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}_{J-1M}$, has the advantageous feature that the top term with the largest multiplicity is given by the positive-metric modes, $c^{\dagger}c^{\dagger}$. This term may give the greatest contribution to the norm, and therefore it is expected to be positive.

Finally, we briefly discuss the conformal mode sector, which must be managed separately, because of the existence of the zero mode. Here we consider the state that depends only on the conformal mode, $e^{ip\hat{q}}|\Omega\rangle$. The Hamiltonian condition in (5.2) gives the equation $\frac{1}{2}(p+i\sqrt{2b_1})^2+b_1=4$, so that p has the purely imaginary value $-i\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2b_1}}$ with $\alpha = 2b_1\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{4}{b_1}}\right)$, where the fact that $b_1>4$ is used and the solution that α approaches 4 in the classical limit $b_1\to\infty$ is selected. This state, expressed by $e^{\alpha\phi(t=i\infty)}|\Omega\rangle$, is identified with the cosmological constant. As in the case of non-critical strings, the conformal mode sector is not normalizable. This implies that the partition function is given by a *grand canonical ensemble*, namely dynamical triangulation [36]. Now, the chemical potential to stabilize the partition function is the cosmological constant. Thus, the divergence of the norm in the conformal mode sector is due to the fact that we do not consider a suppression factor of the cosmological constant. The other three sectors are normalizable, and they are regarded as propagation modes on four-dimensional random surfaces.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we proposed a world-volume model of a non-critical 3-brane. As in the case of a non-critical string, the kinetic term of the conformal mode is induced from the measure as the Wess-Zumino action related to the conformal anomaly. We investigated the world-volume dynamics of this model in the strong coupling phase, called the conformal-mode dominant phase, where the conformal mode fluctuates greatly. We treated the conformal mode non-perturbatively. The model possesses exact conformal invariance and can be described by CFT$_4$. We canonically quantized the model coupled to scalar fields and vector fields on the $R \times S^3$ background. If coupled to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM$_4$, this model can be regarded as a world-volume model of a D3-brane.

In order to define the world-volume dynamics, we introduced the Weyl action, which is necessary not only to make the model renormalizable but also to remove the world-volume singularity, as discussed in Sect.1. The existence of no singularity implies that standard point-like excitations are forbidden quantum mechanically, because they are essentially black holes in the strong coupling phase of gravity. We claimed that the Weyl action is necessary to solve the information loss problem, even though it introduces negative-metric modes. Furthermore, there is an advantage of the Weyl action, namely, that inflation is induced by (dynamical) scales [37, 38, 39].

We showed that conformal invariance plays an important role in determining physical states in the conformal-mode dominant phase. We constructed conformal charges and a conformal algebra and determined physical state conditions. We found that all negative-metric modes are related to positive-metric modes through the conformal charges, and therefore negative-metric modes are themselves not independent physical modes. Physical states satisfying the conformal invariance conditions are given by particular combinations of positive-metric and negative-metric modes. Some definite forms of them were constructed.

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank T. Yukawa for discussions on the problem considered here and for informing us of his idea regarding the dynamical triangulation approach to four-dimensional random surfaces.

Appendix

A Spherical Tensor Harmonics on S^3

In this appendix, we construct practical forms of symmetric transverse traceless (ST^2) tensor harmonics on S^3 of arbitrary rank. The general argument is given in Ref.[40]. Here, we use the peculiar property that the isometry group on S^3 is $SO(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2)$. The ST^2 tensor harmonics can be classified according to the representations of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$.

The ST^2 tensor harmonics of rank n, denoted by $Y^{i_1 \cdots i_n}_{J(M\epsilon_n)}$, belong to the $(J + \epsilon_n, J - \epsilon_n)$ representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ for each sign of $\epsilon_n = \pm\frac{n}{2}$, which are the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on S^3,

$$\hat{\nabla}^2 Y^{i_1 \cdots i_n}_{J(M\epsilon_n)} = \{-2J(2J+2) + n\} Y^{i_1 \cdots i_n}_{J(M\epsilon_n)}, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\hat{\nabla}^2 = \hat{\nabla}^i \hat{\nabla}_i$. The quantity J $(\geq \frac{n}{2})$ takes integer or half-integer values. $M = (m, m')$ represents the multiplicity, which take the values

$$\begin{aligned} m &= -J - \epsilon_n, \ -J - \epsilon_n + 1, \cdots, J + \epsilon_n - 1, \ J + \epsilon_n, \\ m' &= -J + \epsilon_n, \ -J + \epsilon_n + 1, \cdots, J - \epsilon_n - 1, \ J - \epsilon_n. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The multiplicity of the ST^2 tensor harmonic of rank n is given by the product of the left and right $SU(2)$ multiplicities, $(2(J + \epsilon_n) + 1)(2(J - \epsilon_n) + 1)$, for each sign of $\epsilon_n = \pm\frac{n}{2}$, and thus it is totally $2(2J + n + 1)(2J - n + 1)$ for $n \geq 1$. For $n = 0$, the multiplicity is given by $(2J + 1)^2$.

In order to construct explicit forms of ST^2 tensor harmonics on S^3, we have to specify the coordinate system. Here we introduce two coordinate systems on R^4. One is the Cartesian coordinate by $x^{\bar{\mu}}$, with $\bar{\mu} = \bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}$, and the other is the spherical polar coordinate system, denoted by $x^\mu = (x^0, x^i)$, with $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $x^0 = r = (x^{\bar{\mu}} x_{\bar{\mu}})^{1/2}$. The metrics in these coordinates take the forms

$$ds_{R^4} = \delta_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} dx^{\bar{\mu}} dx^{\bar{\nu}} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{A.3}$$

where

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.4}$$

and $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$ is the metric on the unit S^3. The only nonzero Christoffel symbols for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$\Gamma^0_{ij} = -r\hat{\gamma}_{ij}, \qquad \Gamma^i_{0j} = \Gamma^i_{j0} = \frac{1}{r}\delta^i{}_j, \qquad \Gamma^i_{jk} = \hat{\Gamma}^i_{jk}, \tag{A.5}$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$ is the Christoffel symbol constructed from the $\hat{\gamma}_{ij}$.

We here use the Euler angle parametrization $x^i = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, with $i = 1, 2, 3$, on S^3. In this parametrization, the metric takes the form

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cos\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \cos\beta & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.6}$$

where α, β and γ have the ranges $[0, 2\pi]$, $[0, \pi]$ and $[0, 4\pi]$, respectively. The volume element on the unit S^3 is

$$d\Omega_3 = dx^3 \sqrt{\hat{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{8} \sin\beta d\alpha d\beta d\gamma, \tag{A.7}$$

and the volume is $\mathrm{Vol}(S^3) = 2\pi^2$. The Christoffel symbols $\hat{\Gamma}^i_{jk} = \hat{\Gamma}^i_{kj}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}^\beta_{\alpha\gamma} &= \frac{1}{2} \sin\beta, \\ \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\alpha\beta} &= \hat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\gamma\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \cot\beta, \\ \hat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} &= \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2\sin\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

The relation between the two coordinate systems $x^{\bar{\mu}}$ and $x^\mu = (r, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\bar{0}} &= r \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \cos\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma), \\ x^{\bar{1}} &= r \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \sin\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \gamma), \\ x^{\bar{2}} &= -r \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \cos\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \gamma), \\ x^{\bar{3}} &= -r \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \sin\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

The ST^2 tensor harmonics on S^3 can be constructed by restricting to S^3 tensors on R^4. We first construct scalar harmonics. Some important formulae to define ST^2 tensors of higher rank are introduced here. Then, we construct higher rank tensors.

Scalar harmonics The scalar harmonics on S^3, denoted by Y_{JM}, which satisfy the equation $\hat{\nabla}^2 Y_{JM} = -2J(2J+2)Y_{JM}$, belong to the (J, J) representation of the isometry group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. It is well known that the the Wigner D function $D^J_{mm'}(x^i)$ in the Euler angle parametrization is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian on S^3. Thus, the scalar harmonics Y_{JM} on S^3 can be expressed in terms of the Wigner D function, where $M = (m, m')$ and $m = -J, \cdots, J$, $m' = -J, \cdots, J$. If we fix the normalization as

$$\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{J_1 M_1} Y_{J_2 M_2} = \delta_{J_1 J_2} \delta_{M_1 M_2}, \qquad \delta_{M_1 M_2} = \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{m'_1 m'_2}, \tag{A.10}$$

we obtain

$$Y_{JM} = \sqrt{\frac{2J+1}{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^J_{mm'}, \qquad Y^*_{JM} = \epsilon_M Y_{J-M}, \tag{A.11}$$

where $\epsilon_M = (-1)^{m-m'}$.

The Wigner D-function/scalar harmonics can be constructed if we imbed S^3 into the four-dimensional Euclidean space R^4. Let $\tau_{\bar{\mu}_1 \cdots \bar{\mu}_n}$ be a symmetric traceless rank-n tensor on R^4 whose components are constant. Then, the Wigner D function can be expressed in terms of a polynomial in the coordinates $x^{\bar{\mu}}$:

$$D^J_{mm'} = \frac{1}{r^{2J}} x^{\bar{\mu}_1} \cdots x^{\bar{\mu}_{2J}} (\tau_{\bar{\mu}_1 \cdots \bar{\mu}_{2J}})_{mm'}, \tag{A.12}$$

$$r = (x^{\bar{\mu}} x_{\bar{\mu}})^{1/2}. \tag{A.13}$$

From the second equation in (A.11), $\tau_{\bar{\mu}_1 \cdots \bar{\mu}_n}$ satisfies

$$(\tau_{\bar{\mu}_1 \cdots \bar{\mu}_n})^*_{mm'} = \epsilon_M (\tau_{\bar{\mu}_1 \cdots \bar{\mu}_n})_{-m-m'}. \tag{A.14}$$

The tensor $\tau_{\bar{\mu}_1 \cdots \bar{\mu}_n}$ is used to define the ST^2 spherical tensor harmonics of rank n below. Here we give the explicit form of $\tau_{\bar{\mu}}$. The Wigner D function for $J = \frac{1}{2}$ is given by

$$rD^{\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'} = \begin{pmatrix} r\cos\frac{\beta}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\gamma)} & -r\sin\frac{\beta}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)} \\ r\sin\frac{\beta}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)} & r\cos\frac{\beta}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\gamma)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{\bar{0}} + ix^{\bar{3}} & x^{\bar{2}} + ix^{\bar{1}} \\ -x^{\bar{2}} + ix^{\bar{1}} & x^{\bar{0}} - ix^{\bar{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.15}$$

where $m, m' = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$. This is identified with $x_{\bar{\mu}}(\tau^{\bar{\mu}})_{mm'}$, so that we obtain

$$\tau^{\bar{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^{\bar{1}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^{\bar{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^{\bar{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.16}$$

where the $(1,1)$ compornents are $(\tau^{\bar\mu})_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}$.

For $J=1$, the Wigner D function is

$$D^1_{mm'} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+\cos\beta}{2}e^{-i(\alpha+\gamma)} & -\frac{\sin\beta}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-i\alpha} & \frac{1-\cos\beta}{2}e^{-i(\alpha-\gamma)} \\ \frac{\sin\beta}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-i\gamma} & \cos\beta & -\frac{\sin\beta}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\gamma} \\ \frac{1-\cos\beta}{2}e^{i(\alpha-\gamma)} & \frac{\sin\beta}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\alpha} & \frac{1+\cos\beta}{2}e^{i(\alpha+\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.17}$$

where $m, m' = 1, 0, -1$. From this expression, we can easily obtain $(\tau^{\bar\mu\bar\nu})_{mm'}$.

For later use, we here give some important formulae satisfied by $\tau_{\bar\mu}$ and $\tau_{\bar\mu\bar\nu}$. From the normalization of the scalar harmonics, we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{m_1m_2}\delta_{m'_1m'_2} &= \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM_1}Y_{JM_2} \qquad \text{(A.18)} \\ &= \frac{2J+1}{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 \frac{1}{r^{4J}} x^{\bar\mu_1}\cdots x^{\bar\mu_{2J}} x^{\bar\nu_1}\cdots x^{\bar\nu_{2J}} (\tau_{\bar\mu_1\cdots\bar\mu_{2J}})^*_{m_1m'_1}(\tau_{\bar\nu_1\cdots\bar\nu_{2J}})_{m_2m'_2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $J=\frac{1}{2}$ and $J=1$, using the integral formulae

$$\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 x^{\bar\mu}x^{\bar\nu} = \frac{1}{4}\delta^{\bar\mu\bar\nu}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 x^2 = \frac{r^2\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{4}\delta^{\bar\mu\bar\nu}, \tag{A.19}$$

$$\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 x^{\bar\mu}x^{\bar\nu}x^{\bar\lambda}x^{\bar\sigma} = \frac{r^4\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{24}(\delta^{\bar\mu\bar\nu}\delta^{\bar\lambda\bar\sigma} + \delta^{\bar\mu\bar\lambda}\delta^{\bar\nu\bar\sigma} + \delta^{\bar\mu\bar\sigma}\delta^{\bar\nu\bar\lambda}), \tag{A.20}$$

we obtain the equations

$$(\tau^{\bar\mu})^*_{m_1m'_1}(\tau_{\bar\mu})_{m_2m'_2} = 2\delta_{M_1M_2}, \tag{A.21}$$

$$(\tau^{\bar\mu\bar\nu})^*_{m_1m'_1}(\tau_{\bar\mu\bar\nu})_{m_2m'_2} = 4\delta_{M_1M_2}. \tag{A.22}$$

Furthermore, using the **C** coefficient calculated in the next section, we obtain the equations

$$(\tau_{\bar\mu})^*_{m_1m'_1}(\tau^{\bar\mu\bar\nu})_{mm'}(\tau_{\bar\nu})_{m_2m'_2} = 2\sqrt{3}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{1M,\frac{1}{2}M_2}. \tag{A.23}$$

As a variant of these equations, using $\sum_{mm'}(\tau^{\bar\mu})^*_{mm'}(\tau^{\bar\nu})_{mm'} = 2\delta^{\bar\mu\bar\nu}$, we obtain

$$(\tau^{\bar\mu\bar\nu})_{mm'} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\sum_{M_1,M_2}\mathbf{C}^{1M}_{\frac{1}{2}M_1,\frac{1}{2}M_2}(\tau^{\bar\mu})_{m_1m'_1}(\tau^{\bar\nu})_{m_2m'_2}. \tag{A.24}$$

In this way, higher rank tensors can be constructed from compositions of the tensors $\tau^{\bar{\mu}}$.

Finally, we give the important equation for the scalar harmonic with $J = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\hat{\nabla}^i \hat{\nabla}^j Y_{\frac{1}{2}M} = \frac{1}{3} \hat{\gamma}^{ij} \hat{\nabla}^2 Y_{\frac{1}{2}M} = -\hat{\gamma}^{ij} Y_{\frac{1}{2}M}. \tag{A.25}$$

Vector harmonics Combining the scalar harmonics, the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and $\tau^{\bar{\mu}}$, we construct the vector harmonisc on S^3, in the Cartesian coordinate system on R^4, as

$$Y^{\bar{\mu}}_{J(My)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{N,S} C^{J+ym}_{Jn,\frac{1}{2}s} C^{J-ym'}_{Jn',\frac{1}{2}s'} Y_{JN} (\tau^{\bar{\mu}})_{ss'}, \tag{A.26}$$

where $N = (n, n')$, $S = (s, s')$ and $y = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. Using Eqs.(A.10), (A.21) and (D.2), we can show that these harmonics satisfy the normalization

$$\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^{\bar{\mu}*}_{J_1(M_1 y_1)} Y_{\bar{\mu} J_2(M_2 y_2)} = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta_{J_1 J_2} \delta_{M_1 M_2} \delta_{y_1 y_2}, \tag{A.27}$$

and, from the relations (A.11), (A.14) and (D.1), we have

$$Y^{\bar{\mu}*}_{J(My)} = -\epsilon_M Y^{\bar{\mu}}_{J(-My)}. \tag{A.28}$$

Furthermore, using the equation $x^{\bar{\mu}} (\tau_{\bar{\mu}})_{ss'} = r \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{2}} Y_{\frac{1}{2}S}$ and the property of the D function given in (D.7), we obtain

$$x_{\bar{\mu}} Y^{\bar{\mu}}_{J(My)} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{2} \sum_{N,S} C^{J+ym}_{Jn,\frac{1}{2}s} C^{J-ym'}_{Jn',\frac{1}{2}s'} Y_{JN} Y_{\frac{1}{2}S} = 0. \tag{A.29}$$

This implies that, when going to spherical polar coordinates on R^4, the $r = x^0$ component of $Y^{\mu}_{J(My)}$ vanishes. Thus, using the relation $Y^{\bar{\mu}} Y_{\bar{\mu}} = Y^{\mu} Y_{\mu} = \frac{1}{r^2} Y^i Y_i$, the normalization is equivalent to

$$\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^{i*}_{J_1(M_1 y_1)} Y_{i J_2(M_2 y_2)} = \delta_{J_1 J_2} \delta_{M_1 M_2} \delta_{y_1 y_2}. \tag{A.30}$$

We can also show that $Y^i_{J(My)}$ satisfies the transverse condition, $\hat{\nabla}_i Y^i_{J(My)} = 0$. By differentiating Eq.(A.29), we obtain the expression

$$Y^{\bar{\mu}}_{J(My)} = -x_{\bar{\lambda}} \partial^{\bar{\mu}} Y^{\bar{\lambda}}_{J(My)}. \tag{A.31}$$

From this, we find that $\partial_{\bar{\mu}} Y^{\bar{\mu}} = -\frac{1}{2} x_{\bar{\lambda}} \Box Y^{\bar{\lambda}} \propto x_{\bar{\lambda}} Y^{\bar{\lambda}} = 0$. Thus, $\partial_{\bar{\mu}} Y^{\bar{\mu}} = \nabla_\mu Y^\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} \hat{\nabla}_i Y^i = 0$.

Using the relation between the two coordinate systems,

$$Y_{\mu J(My)} = \frac{\partial x^{\bar{\mu}}}{\partial x^\mu} Y_{\bar{\mu} J(My)}, \tag{A.32}$$

we can obtain explicit forms of the vector harmonics in spherical polar coordinates. As mentioned above, the radial component vanishes,

$$Y_{rJ(My)} = 0, \tag{A.33}$$

where $y = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. The angular components of $y = \frac{1}{2}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{\alpha J(M\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m+1)(2J-2m+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J-\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'}, \\
Y_{\beta J(M\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(2J+1)} \frac{1}{\sin\beta} \Bigg\{ m \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m'+1)(2J-2m'+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J+\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'} \\
&\qquad - m' \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m+1)(2J-2m+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J-\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'} \Bigg\}, \\
Y_{\gamma J(M\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m'+1)(2J-2m'+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J+\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.34}$$

and those of $y = -\frac{1}{2}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{\alpha J(M-\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m+1)(2J-2m+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J+\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'}, \\
Y_{\beta J(M-\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(2J+1)} \frac{1}{\sin\beta} \Bigg\{ m' \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m+1)(2J-2m+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J+\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'} \\
&\qquad - m \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m'+1)(2J-2m'+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J-\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'} \Bigg\}, \\
Y_{\gamma J(M-\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(2J+2m'+1)(2J-2m'+1)}{(2J+1)\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}} D^{J-\frac{1}{2}}_{mm'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.35}$$

These expressions are not used in this paper.

For the special case $J=\frac{1}{2}$, the vector harmonics satisfy

$$\hat{\nabla}^{(i}Y^{j)}_{\frac{1}{2}(My)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\nabla}^{i}Y^{j}_{\frac{1}{2}(My)} + \hat{\nabla}^{j}Y^{i}_{\frac{1}{2}(My)}\right) = 0. \tag{A.36}$$

This equation expresses the fact that the vector harmonics $Y^{i}_{\frac{1}{2}(My)}$ are the Killing vectors on S^3.

Tensor harmonics As in the case of the vector harmonics, the tensor harmonics of rank 2 in Cartesian coordinates on R^4 can be constructed, using the symmetric traceless tensor $\tau^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}$, as

$$Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}_{J(Mx)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{r^2}\sum_{N,T} C^{J+xm}_{Jn,1t} C^{J-xm'}_{Jn',1t'} Y_{JN}(\tau^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}})_{tt'}, \tag{A.37}$$

where $N=(n,n')$, $T=(t,t')$ and $x=\pm 1$. Using Eqs.(A.10), (A.22) and (D.2), we can show that this satisfies the normalization

$$\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}*}_{J_1(M_1x_1)} Y_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}J_2(M_2x_2)} = \frac{1}{r^4}\delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{M_1M_2}\delta_{x_1x_2}, \tag{A.38}$$

and, from the relations (A.11), (A.14) and (D.1), we have

$$Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}*}_{J(Mx)} = \epsilon_M Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}_{J(-Mx)}. \tag{A.39}$$

As in the case of the vector harmonics, we can easily show that the tensors given by (A.37) satisfy the equation

$$x_{\bar{\mu}}x_{\bar{\nu}}Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}_{J(Mx)} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{2}\sum_{N,T,U,S} C^{J+xm}_{Jn,1t} C^{1t}_{\frac{1}{2}u,\frac{1}{2}s} C^{J-xm'}_{Jn',1t'} C^{1t'}_{\frac{1}{2}u',\frac{1}{2}s'} Y_{JN} Y_{\frac{1}{2}U} Y_{\frac{1}{2}S} = 0, \tag{A.40}$$

where $U=(u,u')$, and the properties of the D function (D.8) have been used. Furthermore, mapping to spherical polar coordinates using the relation $Y_{\mu\nu J(Mx)} = \frac{\partial x^{\bar{\mu}}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\frac{\partial x^{\bar{\nu}}}{\partial x^{\nu}} Y_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}J(Mx)}$, we can directly show that the $Y_{r\mu}$ components vanish. This implies that the equation

$$x_{\bar{\mu}}Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}_{J(Mx)} = 0 \tag{A.41}$$

is satisfied. We can also directly see that the harmonics $Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)}$ derived in this way satisfy the transverse condition and the eigenequation (A.1) for $n = 2$.

We can easily generalize the construction of the vector and tensor harmonics mentioned above to any rank as

$$Y^{\bar{\mu}_1\cdots\bar{\mu}_n}_{J(M\epsilon_n)} \propto \sum_{P,U} C^{J+\epsilon_n m}_{Jp,\frac{n}{2}u} C^{J-\epsilon_n m'}_{Jp',\frac{n}{2}u'} Y_{JP}(\tau^{\bar{\mu}_1\cdots\bar{\mu}_n})_{uu'}, \tag{A.42}$$

where $P = (p, p')$ and $\epsilon_n = \pm\frac{n}{2}$.

B $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients

In this section we calculate several $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients defined by the integrals of three products of ST^2 tensor harmonics.

C coefficients The most simple coefficient is given by the integral of a product of three scalar harmonics. This coefficient can easily be calculated using the following property of the Wigner D function:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_1M_1,J_2M_2} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM} Y_{J_1M_1} Y_{J_2M_2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(2J_1+1)(2J_2+1)}{2J+1}} C^{Jm}_{J_1m_1,J_2m_2} C^{Jm'}_{J_1m'_1,J_2m'_2}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

This coefficient vanishes unless the triangular conditions

$$|J_1 - J_2| \le J \le J_1 + J_2, \tag{B.2}$$

with integer $J + J_1 + J_2$, and the requirement $M = M_1 + M_2$ are satisfied. From the definition (B.1), we can easily see that the $\mathbf{C}$ coefficients satisfy the relations

$$\mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_1M_1,J_2M_2} = \mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_2M_2,J_1M_1} = \mathbf{C}^{J-M}_{J_1-M_1,J_2-M_2} = \epsilon_{M_2}\mathbf{C}^{J_1M_1}_{JM,J_2-M_2}. \tag{B.3}$$

D coefficients Consider the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients including vector harmonics. The most simple one is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM} Y^i_{J_1(M_1y_1)} Y_{iJ_2(M_2y_2)} \\ &= r^2\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM} Y^{\bar{\mu}}_{J_1(M_1y_1)} Y_{\bar{\mu}J_2(M_2y_2)}.\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

Using the result for three scalar harmonics, (B.1), and the formula (D.3) relating three Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the left and right $SU(2)$ parts separately, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)} = &-\sqrt{\frac{2J_1(2J_1+1)(2J_1+2)2J_2(2J_2+1)(2J_2+2)}{2J+1}} \\ &\times\left\{\begin{matrix} J & J_1 & J_2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & J_2+y_2 & J_1+y_1 \end{matrix}\right\}\left\{\begin{matrix} J & J_1 & J_2 \\ \frac{1}{2} & J_2-y_2 & J_1-y_1 \end{matrix}\right\} \\ &\times C^{Jm}_{J_1+y_1m_1,J_2+y_2m_2}C^{Jm'}_{J_1-y_1m'_1,J_2-y_2m'_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

The triangular condition for the standard Clebsch-Gordan coefficients implies that this coefficient vanishes unless the triangular conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1-J_2| \leq \quad J &\leq J_1+J_2-1 \qquad \text{for } y_1=y_2, \\ |J_1-J_2|+1 \leq \quad J &\leq J_1+J_2 \qquad \text{for } y_1\neq y_2, \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

with integer $J+J_1+J_2$, and the requirement $M=M_1+M_2$ are satisfied. Also, the $\mathbf{D}$ coefficients satisfy the relations

$$\mathbf{D}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)} = \mathbf{D}^{JM}_{J_2(M_2y_2),J_1(M_1y_1)} = \mathbf{D}^{J-M}_{J_1(-M_1y_1),J_2(-M_2y_2)}. \tag{B.7}$$

E coefficients The most simple coefficient including tensor harmonics is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1),J_2(M_2x_2)} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM} Y^{ij}_{J_1(M_1x_1)} Y_{ij\,J_2(M_2x_2)} \\ &= r^4\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM} Y^{\bar\mu\bar\nu}_{J_1(M_1x_1)} Y_{\bar\mu\bar\nu\,J_2(M_2x_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

As in the calculation of the $\mathbf{D}$ coefficients, using Eqs. (B.1) and (D.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1),J_2(M_2x_2)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(2J_1-1)(2J_1+1)(2J_1+3)(2J_2-1)(2J_2+1)(2J_2+3)}{2J+1}} \\ &\quad\times\left\{\begin{matrix} J & J_1 & J_2 \\ 1 & J_2+x_2 & J_1+x_1 \end{matrix}\right\}\left\{\begin{matrix} J & J_1 & J_2 \\ 1 & J_2-x_2 & J_1-x_1 \end{matrix}\right\} \\ &\quad\times C^{Jm}_{J_1+x_1m_1,J_2+x_2m_2}C^{Jm'}_{J_1-x_1m'_1,J_2-x_2m'_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

This coefficient vanishes unless the triangular conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1 - J_2| \leq \quad & J \quad \leq J_1 + J_2 - 2 \qquad \text{for } x_1 = x_2, \\ |J_1 - J_2| + 2 \leq \quad & J \quad \leq J_1 + J_2 \qquad \text{for } x_1 \neq x_2, \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

with integer $J + J_1 + J_2$, and the requirement $M = M_1 + M_2$ are satisfied. Also, the relations

$$\mathbf{E}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1),J_2(M_2x_2)} = \mathbf{E}^{JM}_{J_2(M_2x_2),J_1(M_1x_1)} = \mathbf{E}^{J-M}_{J_1(-M_1x_1),J_2(-M_2x_2)} \tag{B.11}$$

are satisfied.

G coefficients Consider the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients with a derivative. The most simple one is constructed from scalar and vector harmonics as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1y_1);J_2M_2} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM} Y^i_{J_1(M_1y_1)} \hat{\nabla}_i Y_{J_2M_2} \\ &= -r^2 \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 (\partial_{\bar{\mu}} Y^*_{JM}) Y^{\bar{\mu}}_{J_1(M_1y_1)} Y_{J_2M_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

This coefficient is evaluated as follows. Recall that vector harmonics satisfy the condition $x_{\bar{\lambda}} Y^{\bar{\lambda}}_{J(My)} = 0$, i.e. (A.29). By differentiating this condition, we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{\bar{\mu}}_{J(My)} &= -x_{\bar{\lambda}} \partial^{\bar{\mu}} Y^{\bar{\lambda}}_{J(My)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)} \sum_{N,S} C^{J+ym}_{Jn,\frac{1}{2}s} C^{J-ym'}_{Jn',\frac{1}{2}s'} (\partial^{\bar{\mu}} Y_{JN}) Y_{\frac{1}{2}S}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

where we have used the equation $x_{\bar{\lambda}} (\tau^{\bar{\lambda}})_{ss'} = r\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{2}} Y_{\frac{1}{2}S}$. Using this expression and the identity $\partial_{\bar{\mu}} A \partial^{\bar{\mu}} B = \frac{1}{2}\{\Box(AB) - (\Box A)B - A\Box B\}$, where $\Box = \partial_{\bar{\mu}}\partial^{\bar{\mu}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r^2 \mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{4} \sum_{N_1,S} C^{J_1+y_1m_1}_{J_1n_1,\frac{1}{2}s} C^{J_1-y_1m'_1}_{J_1n'_1,\frac{1}{2}s'} \int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 \Big\{ \Box (Y^*_{JM} Y_{J_1N_1}) \\ -(\Box Y^*_{JM}) Y_{J_1N_1} - Y^*_{JM} \Box Y_{J_1N_1} \Big\} Y_{\frac{1}{2}S} Y_{J_2M_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.14}$$

This quantity can be evaluated using the eigenequation for scalar harmonics, $\Box Y_{JM} = \frac{1}{r^2} \hat{\nabla}^2 Y_{JM} = -\frac{1}{r^2} 2J(2J+2) Y_{JM}$, and the product expansion (C.1) given in the next section. Furthermore, noting Eq.(A.29), we see that only the first term in the braces gives the non-vanishig quantity

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_K 2K(2K+2)\sum_{N_1,S,T} C^{J_1+y_1 m_1}_{J_1 n_1,\frac{1}{2}s} C^{J_1-y_1 m_1'}_{J_1 n_1',\frac{1}{2}s'} \mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_1 N_1,KT}\epsilon_S \mathbf{C}^{J_2 M_2}_{KT,\frac{1}{2}-S}. \tag{B.15}$$

Using the formula (D.3) relating three Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the left and the right $SU(2)$ parts separately, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{G}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1 y_1);J_2 M_2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{2J_1(2J_1+1)(2J_1+2)(2J_2+1)}{2J+1}}\sum_{K=J_2\pm\frac{1}{2}} 2K(2K+1)(2K+2) \\
&\quad\times\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} J & J_1 & K \\ \frac{1}{2} & J_2 & J_1+\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} J & J_1 & K \\ \frac{1}{2} & J_2 & J_1-\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right\} C^{Jm}_{J_1+y_1 m_1,J_2 m_2} C^{Jm'}_{J_1-y_1 m_1',J_2 m_2'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

From the properties of the standard Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, we find that this coefficient vanishes unless the triangular conditions

$$|J_1-J_2|+\frac{1}{2}\le J\le J_1+J_2-\frac{1}{2}, \tag{B.17}$$

with half-integer $J+J_1+J_2$, and the requirement $M=M_1+M_2$ are satisfied. Also, we find that these coefficients satisfy the relations

$$\mathbf{G}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1 y_1);J_2 M_2} = -\mathbf{G}^{J-M}_{J_1(-M_1 y_1);J_2-M_2}. \tag{B.18}$$

H coefficients The coefficient constructed from scalar, vector and tensor harmonics is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1 x_1);J_2(M_2 y_2)} &= \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 Y^*_{JM} Y^{ij}_{J_1(M_1 x_1)}\hat{\nabla}_i Y_{jJ_2(M_2 y_2)} \qquad \text{(B.19)} \\
&= -r^4\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 (\partial_{\bar{\mu}} Y^*_{JM}) Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}_{J_1(M_1 x_1)} Y_{\bar{\nu}J_2(M_2 y_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As in the case of the **G** coefficients, using $x_{\bar{\mu}} Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}_{J(Mx)} = 0$, we obtain the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}}_{J(Mx)} &= -x_{\bar{\lambda}}\partial^{\bar{\mu}} Y^{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\nu}}_{J(Mx)} \qquad \text{(B.20)} \\
&= -\frac{1}{4r}\sqrt{\frac{3\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{2}}\sum_{N,T,U,S} C^{J+xm}_{Jn,1t} C^{J-xm'}_{Jn',1t'} \mathbf{C}^{1T}_{\frac{1}{2}U,\frac{1}{2}S}(\partial^{\bar{\mu}} Y_{JN}) Y_{\frac{1}{2}U}(\tau^{\bar{\nu}})_{ss'},
\end{aligned}$$

where Eq.(A.24) has been used. Substituting this expression into the definition, we obtain

$$r^2\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)\sum_{N_1,T_1}\sum_{N_2,S_2}\sum_{U}C^{J_1+x_1m_1}_{J_1n_1,1t_1}C^{J_1-x_1m'_1}_{J_1n'_1,1t'_1}C^{J_2+y_2m_2}_{J_2n_2,\frac{1}{2}s_2}C^{J_2-y_2m'_2}_{J_2n'_2,\frac{1}{2}s'_2}\mathbf{C}^{\frac{1}{2}U}_{1T_1,\frac{1}{2}S_2}$$
$$\times\int d\Omega_3(\partial_{\bar{\mu}}Y^*_{JM})(\partial^{\bar{\mu}}Y_{J_1N_1})Y_{J_2N_2}Y_{\frac{1}{2}U}. \tag{B.21}$$

The integral here is evaluated as in the computation of **G**, and the product of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are simplified using the formula (D.4) for the left and the right $SU(2)$ parts separately and the formula for the 6j-symbols (D.12). We then obtain the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{H}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1);J_2(M_2y_2)}\\
&=-\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{(2J_1-1)(2J_1+1)(2J_1+3)2J_2(2J_2+1)(2J_2+2)}{2J+1}}\\
&\quad\times\sum_{K=J_2\pm\frac{1}{2}}2K(2K+1)(2K+2)\begin{Bmatrix}K & 1 & J_2+y_2\\ \frac{1}{2} & J_2 & \frac{1}{2}\end{Bmatrix}\\
&\quad\times\begin{Bmatrix}K & 1 & J_2-y_2\\ \frac{1}{2} & J_2 & \frac{1}{2}\end{Bmatrix}\begin{Bmatrix}J & J_1+x_1 & J_2+y_2\\ 1 & K & J_1\end{Bmatrix}\begin{Bmatrix}J & J_1-x_1 & J_2-y_2\\ 1 & K & J_1\end{Bmatrix}\\
&\quad\times C^{Jm}_{J_1+x_1m_1,J_2+y_2m_2}C^{Jm'}_{J_1-x_1m'_1,J_2-y_2m'_2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{B.22}$$

This vanishes unless the triangular conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1-J_2|+\frac{1}{2}\le\ J\ &\le J_1+J_2-\frac{3}{2} &&\text{for } x_1=2y_2,\\
|J_1-J_2|+\frac{3}{2}\le\ J\ &\le J_1+J_2-\frac{1}{2} &&\text{for } x_1\neq 2y_2,
\end{aligned}\tag{B.23}$$

with half-integer $J+J_1+J_2$, and the requirement $M=M_1+M_2$ are satisfied. Also, the relations

$$\mathbf{H}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1);J_2(M_2y_2)}=-\mathbf{H}^{J-M}_{J_1(-M_1x_1);J_2(-M_2y_2)} \tag{B.24}$$

are satisfied.

C Product Expansions and Crossing Relations

Product expansions The ST^2 tensor harmonics satisfy the following product expansions:

$$Y_{J_1M_1}Y_{J_2M_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\mathbf{C}^{JM}_{J_1M_1,J_2M_2}Y_{JM}, \tag{C.1}$$

$$Y^{i}_{J_1(M_1y_1)}Y_{iJ_2(M_2y_2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\mathbf{D}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)}Y_{JM}, \tag{C.2}$$

$$Y^{ij}_{J_1(M_1x_1)}Y_{ijJ_2(M_2x_2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\mathbf{E}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1),J_2(M_2x_2)}Y_{JM}. \tag{C.3}$$

The products here are taken at the same points. These equations are identified to the definitions of the **C**, **D** and **E** coefficients.

More useful product expansions are

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y^{*}_{J_1M_1}Y^{i}_{J_2(M_2y_2)} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{M,y}\epsilon_M\mathbf{D}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2y_2),J(-My)}Y^{i}_{J(My)} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{M}\frac{1}{2J(2J+2)}\epsilon_M\mathbf{G}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2y_2);J-M}\hat{\nabla}^{i}Y_{JM}
\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y^{*}_{J_1M_1}Y^{ij}_{J_2(M_2x_2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,x}\epsilon_M\mathbf{E}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2),J(-Mx)}Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,y}\frac{2}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\epsilon_M\mathbf{H}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J(-My)}\hat{\nabla}^{(i}Y^{j)}_{J(My)} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{(2J-1)2J(2J+2)(2J+3)} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times\epsilon_M\mathbf{I}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J-M}\left(\hat{\nabla}^{(i}\hat{\nabla}^{j)}-\frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}^{ij}\hat{\nabla}^2\right)Y_{JM},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

where the smallest values of the sums of J are determined by Eqs.(A.25) and (A.36). The new coefficient is defined by

$$\mathbf{I}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1x_1);J_2M_2} = \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3}d\Omega_3 Y^{*}_{JM}Y^{ij}_{J_1(M_1x_1)}\hat{\nabla}_i\hat{\nabla}_j Y_{J_2M_2}. \tag{C.6}$$

Using Eq.(A.25), we see that this coefficient vanishes for $J = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\mathbf{I}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J_1(M_1x_1);J_2M_2} = 0. \tag{C.7}$$

This fact is important when we construct the conformal algebra in the traceless mode sector.

Furthermore, we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y^*_{J_1M_1}\hat{\nabla}^{(i}Y^{j)}_{J_2(M_2y_2)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,x}\epsilon_M \mathbf{H}^{J_1M_1}_{J(-Mx);J_2(M_2y_2)}Y^{ij}_{J(Mx)} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,y}\frac{1}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big\{2J(2J+2) \\
&\qquad -2J_1(2J_1+2)+2J_2(2J_2+2)-6\Big\}\epsilon_M\mathbf{D}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2y_2),J(-My)} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\epsilon_M\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2y_2),J(-My)}\Big]\hat{\nabla}^{(i}Y^{j)}_{J(My)} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{(2J-1)2J(2J+2)(2J+3)} \\
&\qquad \times\Big\{2J(2J+2)-2J_1(2J_1+2)+2J_2(2J_2+2)-3\Big\} \\
&\qquad \times\epsilon_M\mathbf{G}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2y_2);J-M}\left(\hat{\nabla}^{(i}\hat{\nabla}^{j)}-\frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}^{ij}\hat{\nabla}^2\right)Y_{JM},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{JM}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)} = \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}\int_{S^3}d\Omega_3(\hat{\nabla}_i\hat{\nabla}_jY^*_{JM})Y^i_{J_1(M_1y_1)}Y^j_{J_2(M_1y_2)}. \tag{C.9}$$

The $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$ coefficients have the same indices as the $\mathbf{D}$ coefficients. For $J = \frac{1}{2}$, using Eq.(A.25), we find that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)} = -\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J_1(M_1y_1),J_2(M_2y_2)}. \tag{C.10}$$

This equation is used below.

Crossing relations Let us consider the following integral of the product of four scalar harmonics:

$$\int_{S^3}d\Omega_3 Y^*_{J_1M_1}Y_{J_2M_2}Y^*_{J_3M_3}Y_{J_4M_4}. \tag{C.11}$$

Using the product expansion (C.1), we obtain the following crossing relation:

$$\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\epsilon_M \mathbf{C}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2M_2,J-M}\mathbf{C}^{J_3M_3}_{JM,J_4M_4}=\sum_{J\geq 0}\sum_{M}\epsilon_M \mathbf{C}^{J_1M_1}_{J_4M_4,J-M}\mathbf{C}^{J_3M_3}_{JM,J_2M_2}. \tag{C.12}$$

The case $J_1=J_3=\frac{1}{2}$ is used to derive the conformal algebra given in Sect. 4, and, also, the case $J_1=\frac{1}{2}$ and $J_3=J+J_4$ is used to derive the creation operator that commutes with the charge Q_M, given in Sect. 5.

As a variant of this crossing relation, we consider the relation obtained from the integral in which $Y_{J_2M_2}$ and $Y_{J_4M_4}$ are replaced with other harmonics. Changing to vector harmonics and using the product expansion (C.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{J\geq\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{M,y}\epsilon_M \mathbf{D}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2y_2),J(-My)}\mathbf{D}^{J_3M_3}_{J(My),J_4(M_4y_4)}\\
&-\sum_{J\geq\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{M}\frac{1}{2J(2J+2)}\epsilon_M \mathbf{G}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2y_2);J-M}\mathbf{G}^{J_3M_3}_{J_4(M_4y_4);JM}\\
&=[J_2(M_2y_2)\leftrightarrow J_4(M_4y_4)],
\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

and, also, changing to tensor harmonics and using (C.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,x}\epsilon_M \mathbf{E}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2),J(-Mx)}\mathbf{E}^{J_3M_3}_{J(Mx),J_4(M_4x_4)}\\
&-\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,y}\frac{2}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\epsilon_M \mathbf{H}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J(-My)}\mathbf{H}^{J_3M_3}_{J_4(M_4x_4);J(My)}\\
&+\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{(2J-1)2J(2J+2)(2J+3)}\epsilon_M \mathbf{I}^{J_1M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J-M)}\mathbf{I}^{J_3M_3}_{J_4(M_4x_4);JM}\\
&=[J_2(M_2x_2)\leftrightarrow J_4(M_4x_4)].
\end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

Next, consider the integral

$$\int_{S^3}d\Omega_3 Y^{*}_{J_1M_1}Y^{ij}_{J_2(M_2x_2)}Y^{*}_{J_3M_3}\hat{\nabla}_{(i}Y_{j)J_4(M_4y_4)}. \tag{C.15}$$

For simplicity, we consider the case $J_1=J_3=\frac{1}{2}$ used in the text. Then, using the products (C.5) and (C.8), we obtain

$$\sum_{J=J_4}\sum_{M,x}\epsilon_M \mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2),J(-Mx)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M_3}_{J(Mx);J_4(M_4y_4)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{J=J_2}\sum_{M,y}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\Big\{2J(2J+2)+2J_4(2J_4+2)-11\Big\}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\epsilon_M\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J(-My)}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M_3}_{J(My),J_4(M_4y_4)}\\
&=\sum_{J=J_4}\sum_{M,x}\epsilon_M\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{J(-Mx);J_4(M_4y_4)}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M_3}_{J(Mx),J_2(M_2x_2)}\\
&\quad-\sum_{J=J_2}\sum_{M,y}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\Big\{2J(2J+2)+2J_4(2J_4+2)-11\Big\}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\epsilon_M\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{J_4(M_4y_4),J(-My)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M_3}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J(My)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.16}
$$

where we have used Eqs. (C.7) and (C.10). The values of J are now fixed, because $\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M}_{J_1(M_1x_1);J_2(M_2y_2)}\propto\delta_{J_1J_2}$.

Then, consider the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S^3}d\Omega_3\hat{\nabla}_i\left(Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M_1}Y^{ij}_{J_2(M_2x_2)}\right)Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M_3}Y_{jJ_4(M_4y_4)}\\
&=-\int_{S^3}d\Omega_3Y_{\frac{1}{2}M_1}Y^{ij}_{J_2(M_2x_2)}(\hat{\nabla}_iY^*_{\frac{1}{2}M_3})Y_{jJ_4(M_4y_4)}\\
&\quad-\int_{S^3}d\Omega_3Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M_1}Y^{ij}_{J_2(M_2x_2)}Y^*_{\frac{1}{2}M_3}\hat{\nabla}_iY_{jJ_4(M_4y_4)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.17}
$$

In the integral on the l.h.s. here, the product of 1 and 2 and of 3 and 4 are evaluated first, and in the two integrals on the r.h.s., the products of 1 and 4 and of 2 and 3 are evaluated first. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{J=J_2}\sum_{M,y}\epsilon_M\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J(-My)}\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M_3}_{J(My),J_4(M_4y_4)}\\
&=\sum_{J=J_2}\sum_{M,y}\frac{1}{(2J-1)(2J+3)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left\{2J(2J+2)+2J_4(2J_4+2)-11\right\}-1\right]\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\epsilon_M\mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{J_4(M_4y_4),J(-My)}\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M_3}_{J_2(M_2x_2);J(My)}\\
&\quad-\sum_{J=J_4}\sum_{M,x}\epsilon_M\mathbf{H}^{\frac{1}{2}M_1}_{J(-Mx);J_4(M_4y_4)}\mathbf{E}^{\frac{1}{2}M_3}_{J(Mx),J_2(M_2x_2)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.18}
$$

D Some Important Fomulae for Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients and Wigner D functions [41]

The standard Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $C^{c\gamma}_{a\alpha,b\beta}$ vanishes unless the triangular condition $|a-b| \leq c \leq a+b$ and the requirement $\alpha+\beta=\gamma$ are satisfied, where a, b and c are integer or half-integer, non-negative numbers, and $a+b+c$, $a+\alpha$, $b+\beta$, $c+\gamma$ are integer, non-negative numbers. The coefficients satisfy the equations

$$C^{c\gamma}_{a\alpha,b\beta} = (-1)^{a+b-c} C^{c-\gamma}_{a-\alpha,b-\beta} = (-1)^{a+b-c} C^{c\gamma}_{b\beta,a\alpha} = (-1)^{b+\beta} \sqrt{\frac{2c+1}{2a+1}} C^{a-\alpha}_{c-\gamma,b\beta}. \tag{D.1}$$

The formulae for the products of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are as follows:

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} C^{c\gamma}_{a\alpha,b\beta} C^{c'\gamma'}_{a\alpha,b\beta} = \delta_{cc'}\delta_{\gamma\gamma'}, \tag{D.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\delta} (-1)^{a-\alpha} C^{c\gamma}_{b\beta,a\alpha} C^{e\epsilon}_{b\beta,d\delta} C^{f\varphi}_{d\delta,a-\alpha} \\ &= (-1)^{a+b+e+f} \sqrt{(2c+1)(2f+1)} C^{e\epsilon}_{c\gamma,f\varphi} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ e & f & d \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\psi,\kappa,\rho,\sigma,\tau} (-1)^{\psi+\kappa+\rho+\sigma+\tau} C^{a\alpha}_{p\psi,q\kappa} C^{b\beta}_{q\kappa,r\rho} C^{c\gamma}_{r\rho,s\sigma} C^{d\delta}_{s\sigma,t\tau} C^{e\varepsilon}_{t\tau,p-\psi} \\ &= (-1)^{-a-b-2c-2p-2r-t+\alpha+\delta} \sqrt{(2a+1)(2d+1)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{x,y} \sum_{\xi,\eta} (-1)^{\xi+\eta} (2x+1)(2y+1) C^{b\beta}_{a\alpha,x\xi} C^{e\varepsilon}_{x\xi,y\eta} C^{c-\gamma}_{y\eta,d-\delta} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & x \\ r & p & q \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} x & e & y \\ t & r & p \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} y & c & d \\ s & t & r \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

Some important properties of the Wigner D functions are given below:

$$D^{J*}_{mm'} = (-1)^{m-m'} D^J_{-m-m'}, \tag{D.5}$$

$$D^{J_1}_{m_1m'_1} D^{J_2}_{m_2m'_2} = \sum_{J=|J_1-J_2|}^{J_1+J_2} \sum_{m,m'} C^{Jm}_{J_1m_1,J_2m_2} C^{Jm'}_{J_1m'_1,J_2m'_2} D^J_{mm'}, \tag{D.6}$$

$$\sum_{m_1,m'_1} \sum_{m_2,m'_2} C^{Jm}_{J_1m_1,J_2m_2} C^{J'm'}_{J_1m'_1,J_2m'_2} D^{J_1}_{m_1m'_1} D^{J_2}_{m_2m'_2} = \delta_{JJ'} \{J_1J_2J\} D^J_{mm'}, \tag{D.7}$$

$$\sum_{m_1,m_1'}\sum_{m_2,m_2'}\sum_{m_3,m_3'} C^{Jm}_{Kn,J_3m_3} C^{Kn}_{J_1m_1,J_2m_2} C^{J'm'}_{K'n',J_3m_3'} C^{K'n'}_{J_1m_1',J_2m_2'}$$
$$\times D^{J_1}_{m_1m_1'} D^{J_2}_{m_2m_2'} D^{J_3}_{m_3m_3'} = \delta_{JJ'}\delta_{KK'}\{J_1J_2K\}\{KJ_3J\}D^J_{mm'}, \quad \text{(D.8)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\nabla}^2 D^J_{mm'} &= 4\left\{\partial_\beta^2 + \cot\beta\partial_\beta + \frac{1}{\sin^2\beta}\left(\partial_\alpha^2 - 2\cos\beta\partial_\alpha\partial_\gamma + \partial_\gamma^2\right)\right\} D^J_{mm'} \\ &= -4J(J+1)D^J_{mm'}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{(D.9)}$$

$$\int_{S^3} d\Omega_3 D^{J_1*}_{m_1m_1'} D^{J_2}_{m_2m_2'} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S^3)}{2J_1+1}\delta_{J_1J_2}\delta_{m_1m_2}\delta_{m_1'm_2'}, \quad \text{(D.10)}$$

$$\sum_{m'=-J}^{J} D^{J*}_{m_1m'} D^J_{m_2m'} = \delta_{m_1m_2}. \quad \text{(D.11)}$$

Here, the arguments of the D functions are all $D^J_{mm'} = D^J_{mm'}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$. The quantity $\{J_1J_2J_3\}$ is unity if $J_1+J_2+J_3$ is an integer and $|J_1-J_2| \le J_3 \le J_1+J_2$, while it vanishes otherwise. $\{J_1J_2J_3\}$ is invariant with respect to permutations of J_1, J_2 and J_3.

The formula for the products of $6j$-symbols is

$$\sum_x (-1)^{p+q+x}(2x+1)\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} a & b & x \\ c & d & p \end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} a & b & x \\ d & c & q \end{array}\right\} = \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} a & c & q \\ b & d & p \end{array}\right\}. \quad \text{(D.12)}$$

E R_{MN} for the conformal mode and the traceless mode sectors

Using the parametrization (4.15), the charges R_{MN} for the conformal mode and the traceless mode sectors are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= \sum_{J>0}\sum_M (m+m')\left(a^\dagger_{JM}a_{JM} - b^\dagger_{JM}b_{JM}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{J\ge 1}\sum_{M,x}(m+m')\left(c^\dagger_{J(Mx)}c_{J(Mx)} - d^\dagger_{J(Mx)}d_{J(Mx)}\right) \qquad \text{(E.1)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{J\ge 1}\sum_{M,y}(m+m')e^\dagger_{J(My)}e_{J(My)}, \\ R_{22} &= \sum_{J>0}\sum_M (m-m')\left(a^\dagger_{JM}a_{JM} - b^\dagger_{JM}b_{JM}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,x}(m-m')\left(c^{\dagger}_{J(Mx)}c_{J(Mx)}-d^{\dagger}_{J(Mx)}d_{J(Mx)}\right)\\
&-\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M,y}(m-m')e^{\dagger}_{J(My)}e_{J(My)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{21} \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{J>0}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+2-2m')(2J+2m')}\left(a^{\dagger}_{JM}a_{J\overline{M}}-b^{\dagger}_{JM}b_{J\overline{M}}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J-2m')(2J-2+2m')}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(c^{\dagger}_{J(M1)}c_{J(\overline{M}1)}-d^{\dagger}_{J(M1)}d_{J(\overline{M}1)}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+1-2m')(2J-1+2m')}e^{\dagger}_{J(M\frac{1}{2})}e_{J(\overline{M}\frac{1}{2})}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+4-2m')(2J+2+2m')}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(c^{\dagger}_{J(M-1)}c_{J(\overline{M}-1)}-d^{\dagger}_{J(M-1)}d_{J(\overline{M}-1)}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+3-2m')(2J+1+2m')}e^{\dagger}_{J(M-\frac{1}{2})}e_{J(\overline{M}-\frac{1}{2})},
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{31} \;=\; & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{J>0}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+2-2m)(2J+2m)}\left(a^{\dagger}_{JM}a_{J\underline{M}}-b^{\dagger}_{JM}b_{J\underline{M}}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+4-2m)(2J+2+2m)}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(c^{\dagger}_{J(M1)}c_{J(\underline{M}1)}-d^{\dagger}_{J(M1)}d_{J(\underline{M}1)}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+3-2m)(2J+1+2m)}e^{\dagger}_{J(M\frac{1}{2})}e_{J(\underline{M}\frac{1}{2})}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J-2m)(2J-2+2m)}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(c^{\dagger}_{J(M-1)}c_{J(\underline{M}-1)}-d^{\dagger}_{J(M-1)}d_{J(\underline{M}-1)}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{J\geq 1}\sum_{M}\sqrt{(2J+1-2m)(2J-1+2m)}e^{\dagger}_{J(M-\frac{1}{2})}e_{J(\underline{M}-\frac{1}{2})},
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.4}
$$

where $\overline{M}=(m,m'-1)$ and $\underline{M}=(m-1,m')$.

References

[1] A. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **103B** (1981) 207; Mod. Phys. Lett. **A2** (1987) 893.

[2] V. Knizhnik, A. Polyakov and A. Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 819.

[3] J. Distler and H. Kawai, Nucl. Phys. **B321** (1989) 509;
F. David, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 1651.

[4] E. Brezin and V. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. **B236** (1990) 144;
M. Douglas and S. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990) 635;
D. Gross and A. Migdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 127.

[5] N. Seiberg, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **102** (1990) 319;
J. Polchinski, Proc. of String 1990 (Texas A& M, march 1990); Nucl. Phys. **B346** (1990) 253;
K. Hamada, Phys. Lett. **B324** (1994) 141; Nucl. Phys. **B413** (1994) 278.

[6] P. Bouwknegt, J. McCarthy and K. Pilch, Commun. Math. Phys. **145** (1992) 541.

[7] K. Hamada, Phys. Lett. **B300** (1993) 322;
K. Hamada and A. Tsuchiya, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 4897.

[8] H. Kawai and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. **B336** (1990) 115;
H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. **B393** (1993) 280; Nucl. Phys. **B404** (1993) 684.

[9] I. Klebanov, *String theory in two-dimensions*, hep-th/9108019. P. Ginsparg and G. Moore, *Lectures on 2D gravity and 2D string theory*, hep-th/9304011.

[10] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **37B** (1971) 95.

[11] D. Capper and M. Duff, Nuovo Cimento **23A** (1974) 173.

[12] S. Deser, M. Duff and C. Isham, Nucl. Phys. **B111** (1976) 45.

[13] M. Duff, Nucl. Phys. **B125** (1977) 334;
Twenty years of the Weyl anomaly, Class. Quant. Grav. **11** (1994) 1387, hep-th/9308075, and references therein.

[14] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. **D23** (1981) 2262; Phys. Rev. Lett. **44** (1980) 1733.

[15] L. Bonora, P. Cotta-Ramusino and C. Reina, Phys. Lett. **B126** (1983) 305.

[16] R. Riegert, Phys. Lett. **134B** (1984) 56.

[17] E. Fradkin and A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. **134B** (1984) 187.

[18] I. Antoniadis and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 2013;
S. Odintsov, Z. Phys. **C54** (1992) 531.

[19] I. Antoniadis, P. Mazur and E. Mottola, Nucl. Phys. **B388** (1992) 627.

[20] I. Antoniadis, P. Mazur and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. **55** (1997) 4756; Phys. Rev. **55** (1997) 4770.

[21] K. Hamada and F. Sugino, Nucl. Phys. **B553** (1999) 283, hep-th/9810095;
K. Hamada, Prog. Theor. Phys. **103** (2000) 1237, hep-th/9912098; Prog. Theor. Phys. **105** (2001) 673, hep-th/0012053.

[22] K. Hamada, Prog. Theor. Phys. **108** (2002) 399, hep-th/0203250.

[23] J. Polchinski, *TASI Lectures on D-brane*, hep-th/9611050.

[24] H. Nicolai, E. Sezgin and Y. Tanii, Nucl. Phys. **305** (1988) 483;
K. Okuyama, JHEP **0211** (2002) 043, hep-th/0207067;
N. Kim, T. Klose and J. Plefka, hep-th/0306054.

[25] K. Stelle, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 953; Gen. Rel. Grav. **9** (1978) 353.

[26] E. Tomboulis, Phys. Lett. **70B** (1977) 361; Phys. Lett. **97B** (1980) 77.

[27] E. Fradkin and A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B201** (1982) 469; Phys. Lett. **104B** (1981) 377.

[28] D. Boulware, G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 1726.

[29] D. Boulware and D. Gross, Nucl. Phys. **B233** (1984) 1.

[30] A. Strominger, G. Horowitz and M. Perry, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 653.

[31] J. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, hep-th/9711200;
S. Guber, I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105, hep-th/9802109;
E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253, hep-th/9802150;
O. Aharony, S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, *Large N Field Theories,*

String Theory and Gravity, hep-th/9905111, and references therein.

[32] J. Cardy, Phys. Lett. **B215** (1988) 749;
I. Jack and H. Osborn, Nucl. Phys. **B343** (1990) 647;
A. Cappelli, D. Friedan and J. Latorre, Nucl. Phys. **B352** (1991) 616;
H. Osborn and A. Petkou, Annals Phys. **231** (1994) 311;
S. Forte and J. Latorre, Nucl. Phys. **B535** (1998) 709;
J. Erdmenger and H. Osborn, Nucl. Phys. **B483** (1997) 431, hep-th/9605009;
D. Anselmi, D. Freedman, M. Grisaru and A. Johansen, Nucl. Phys. **B526** (1998) 543, hep-th/9708042;
D. Anselmi, Nucl. Phys. **B541** (1999) 369, hep-th/9809192; Nucl. Phys. **B554** (1999) 415, hep-th/9811149;
A. Cappelli, R. Guida and N. Magnoli, Nucl. Phys. **618** (2001) 371, hep-th/0103237.

[33] E. Fradkin and M. Palchik, *Conformal Quantum Field Theory in D-dimensions* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996).

[34] A. Pais and G. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. **79** (1950) 145;
R. Riegert, Phys. Lett. **A105**(1984) 110.

[35] K. Hamada and S. Horata, in preparation.

[36] S. Horata, H. Egawa and T. Yukawa, Nucl. Phys. **B (Proc. Suppl.) 106** (2002) 971, hep-lat/0110042; hep-lat/0209004.

[37] A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. **B91** (1980) 99.

[38] S. Hawking, T. Hertog and H. Reall, Phys. Rev. **D63** (2001) 083504, hep-th/0010232;
S. Nojiri and S. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. **B484** (2000) 119, hep-th/0004097.

[39] K. Hamada, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16** (2001) 803, hep-th/0101100.

[40] M. Rubin and C. Ordóñez, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984) 2888.

[41] D. Varshalovich, A. Moskalev and V. Khersonskii, *Quanyum Theory of Angular Momentum* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).